Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
JUSTICE LEWIS F. POWELL, JR.

February 6, 1980

78-1202 Chiarella v. U.S.

Dear Bill:

Thank you for your memorandum of February 5 addressed to the Chief and me.

Although I welcome your concurrence in my view as to what was submitted to the jury, I am afraid we remain in disagreement - as we were at Conference - as to the necessity for breach of some duty arising from an identifiable relationship. No one has suggested, not even the SEC, that any evidence exists of a congressional intent to extend liability under \$10(b) of the '34 Act to the universe of people who buy and sell securities. The common understanding, until fairly recent years, was to the contrary.

But before imposing a criminal liability that apparently was never considered by Congress — and particularly before imposing it under language as imprecise as §10(b) — I would think it desirable to have congressional hearings and a carefully drafted statute that would afford reasonable notice to criminal defendants.

I nevertheless am happy to have you aboard concurring in the judgment.

Sincerely,

Lewin

Mr. Justice Brennan

cc: The Confernce

lfp/ss

In the form