

#241 East 40th. Street,
Norfolk, Va. 6-3-35.

| | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|---|
| Chas. E. Hughes, | Chief Justice, | |
| Willis Van Devanter, | Associate Justice, | |
| Jas. C. McReynolds, | “ | ” |
| Louis D. Brandeis, | “ | ” |
| George Sutherland, | “ | ” |
| Pierce Butler, | “ | ” |
| Harlan F. Stone, | “ | ” |
| Owen J. Roberts, | “ | ” |
| Benjamin N. Cardoza, | “ | ” |

Washington, D.C.

Sirs:-

Concerning your recent decision that the Frazier-Lemke Farm Moratorium act to be unconstitutional.:

Of course you and the Constitution are right, in saying, that “no one should take anothers property without just compensation.

“No upright person would dare do such a thing.

The Frazier-Lemke Act sought to extend mercy to a class of our citizens, who in part, were suffering from the selfishness and mismanagement of a previous administration, and the greed for gain of a business world.

Neither the Constitution or the Bible prohibits, one class of our citizens, the Congress and President, from extending mercy to another class of our people less fortunate. The nearest approach we have of it is in the Bible, the doctrine of measure for measure.

“For judgment is without MERCY, to HIM THAT HATH SHOWED NO MERCY.”

There appeared no intention in the Frazier-Lemke Act of taking property without just compensation.

The newspapers last week reported six (6) captains of industry taking toll to the amount of \$1,369,583 in the guise of salary, for one year, an amount impossible for six (6) men to honestly earn in one year.

I am wondering what kind of decision you would render in the case of the six (6) captains of industry,? Following the line of logic you employed in arriving at your recent momentous decision, I infer, you would allow it to be legitimate or constitutional for the rich and powerful to take what they want, and illegitimate or unconstitutional for the Congress and our Chief Executive to extend MERCY to the WEAK AND UNFORTUNATE,

Yours truly,
G.W. Pendleton.