CONTENTS

etter of tran	smittal
gest of repo	rt
ne special st ossary	ortudy of the options markets staff list
	-Introduction:
A The or	rowth of listed options trading
B Effect	or listed options trading on the securities industry
C Studie	s of economic effects of listed options trading
D. Summ	ary and conclusions of the options study
2. zamin	Self-regulatory organization systems
	(a) Market surveillance
	(1) American Stock Exchange surveillance in-
	formation and audit trail
	(2) New York Stock Exchange surveillance
	information and audit trail
	(3) Firm proprietary and customer trading in-
	formation
	(4) Options clearing corporation position ad-
	_ justments
	(5) The sharing of surveillance information
	and the allocation of regulatory re-
	sponsibility
	(6) Investigation and enforcement
_	(b) Broker-dealer oversight
2.	Trading practices
	(a) Professional trading
	(b) Position limits
0	(c) Clarification of trading rules
ა.	Selling practices
	(a) Customer protection
	(1) The OCC prospectus
	(2) Customer suitability
	(3) Opening account statements
	(b) Responsibilities of broker-dealer firms
	(1) Qualification of registered representatives
	(2) Supervision of registered representatives
	and of customer accounts(3) Recordkeeping and communications with
	customers
	(4) Exercise allocations
4.	Financial structure
	(a) The Commission's net capital rule
	(1) Increase of deductions in computing net
	capital
	(2) Net capital deductions for marketmaker
	clearing business
	(3) Marketmaker minimum net capital
	(4) Financial requirements of upstairs dealer
	firms(5) Marketmakers that are OCC members
	(5) Marketmakers that are OCC members
	(b) Options specialist stock credit
	(1) Stock hedge
	(2) Limit on stock qualifying for specialist
	stock credit Market structure

XXVIII

CHAPTER II—Fundamentals of Exchange Traded Options:
A. Characteristics of options
1. Conventional OTC options compared to listed options
2. The options contract
2. The options contract3. Stock price considerations in listed options
4. Short-term character of options trading
5. Transaction costs
6. Options pricing models
7. Examples of the effect of options contracts
(a) Call option
(b) Put option
(c) Gains or losses to options buyers are offset by
losses or gains to options sellers.
B. Principal strategies
1. Introduction
2. Ten basic strategies
3. Survey of investor use of option strategies
4. Writing options for premiums
Exhibit 1: The relationship between puts, calls, starddles and
stocks
CHAPTER III—The Use of Options By Professional Traders:
Introduction
1. On floor market participants
(a) Obligations
(b) Privileges
(c) Options trading strategies
(d) Stock/options trading strategies
2. Upstairs firms
(a) Advantages enjoyed by upstairs firms
(b) Arbitrage trading
(1) Conversion and reverse conversion arbitrage
(a) Conversion arbitrage
(b) Reverse conversion arbitrage
(2) Hedged short selling
(3) Merger or exchange offer arbitrage
(4) Discount options arbitrage
c. Block trading
d. Creation of synthetic puts
3. Institutional investors
4. Specific trading abuses
(a) Fictitious trades
(b) Prearranged trading
(c) Chumming
(a) Stock option manipulation
(1) Minimanipulation
(2) Capping and pegging
(3) Statutory prohibition of manipulation
(4) Problems of proof and the need for data
(e) Front-running
5. Trading rules
(a) Position limit rules
(b) Restricted options rules

XXIX

CHAPTER IV—Self-Regulatory Organization Surveillance of the Star ardized Options Markets:	nd-
I. Introduction	
II. Methodology	
III. Surveillance information	
1. The sources of surveillance information	
2. The organization of capture of surveillance informatio	n
(a) Transaction information	
(b) Clearing information	
(c) Account information	
3. Conclusions and recommendations	
a. Surveillance information	
(1) AMEX surveillance information a	and
audit trail	
(2) NYSE surveillance information a	and
audit trail	
(3) Stock market reconstruction	
(4) Firm proprietary and customer trad	ung
identification	
(5) Customer account identification	
(6) OCC position adjustments.	
b. Surveillance techniques	
information	nce
(2) The sharing of surveillance informat	ion
and the allocation of regulatory resp	non-
sibility	UII-
(3) Improving options exchange surveilla	nce
techniques(4) Uniform reporting requirements	
IV. Investigation and enforcement	- ~ -
1. The CBOE and PSE	
2. The PHLX	
3. The AMEX	
4. The MSE	
Table 1—Surveillance data Available	
Table 2—Comparison of surveillance data available to surveillance d	ata
captured	ava
Exhibits:	
1 CDOF Manhat Data Data and Live (MDD)	
1. CBOE Market Data Retrieval Listing (MDR):	
A. By Time	
B. By Price	
2. Amex options daily journal report	
3. NYSE daily transaction journal 4. CBOE matched transaction listing (MTL)	
5 NYSE daily reconciliation clearing shoots	
5. NYSE daily reconciliation clearing sheets 6. OCC daily position report	
1. Amex 101m 958-U	
8. PSE form OTR-1	
8. PSE form OTR-1 9. Letter to Richard Teberg, Director, Special Study of the Option Markets, from the Salf Regulatory, Confirmation of the Option of the Salf Regulatory, Confirmation of the Option of the Salf Regulatory, Confirmation of the Option of th	one
Markets, from the Self-Regulatory Conference, dated October	ли 5 - В
1978	υ,

XXX
Appendix Exhibits*:
1. Trading procedures and trade matching operations of the AMEX, CBOE, MSE, PHLX, PSE, and NYSE; surveillance techniques of the AMEX, CBOE, MSE, PHLX, and PSE.
2. Sample inspection cutline and inspection information request (CBOE).
 3. CBOE marketmaker minimanipulation and capping investigation (Case No. M 7145). 4. PSE expiration study.
5. CBOE capping investigation (complaint No. M 8018).
6. AMEX capping investigation. 7. AMEX capping investigation.
8. NYSE expiration study.
 CBOE prearranged trading investigation (complaint No. M 8080). AMEX prearranged trading investigation.
 11. CBOE prearranged trading investigation (Case No. M 7052). 12. CBOE fictitious trading investigation (Case No. M 7061). 13. CBOE front-running investigation (Complaint No. M 8078).
14. AMEX front-running investigation.
15. PSE front-running investigation.16. Inside information investigation.
17. AMEX inside information investigation.18. CBOE position adjustment investigation (complaint No. M 8098).
19. CBOE position adjustment investigation (complaint No. M 7163).
20. Table A: Exchange surveillance parameters. Table B: Summary of exchange personnel.
Table C: Exchange surveillance expenditures.
Table D: Options exchange cleared contract volume.
CHAPTER V—Options Selling Practices:
Introduction 289 A. Registered representative qualification, preparation and motiva-
A. Registered representative qualification, preparation and motiva-
B. Supervision of accounts 314
C. Suitability336 D. Disclosure documents369
E. Promoting options—general problems————————————————————————————————————
F. Promoting options—specific methods G. Promoting options—investment programs
G. Promoting options—investment programs H. Options trading in customer accounts 425
I. Exercise problems 477
CHAPTER VI—Self-Regulatory Organization Oversight of Retail Firms and Their Associated Persons:
I. Introduction 487
II. An Overview of SRO compliance programs 492
A. Monitoring programs 492 1. Employment and termination of registered re-
presentatives 493
2. Customer complaints 494 3. Advertising 494
4. Financial responsibility early warning systems 496
5. Credit monitoring B. Cause examinations 496
C. Routine examinations 498
D. Disciplinary actions 501

^{*}These Appendix Exhibits are available publicly in the Commission's Public Reference Room and, therefore are not published as a part of this report.

$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{I}$

III. Obtaining compliance information. A. Public customers. B. SROS 1. Sharing of information. 2. Coordination of compliance programs. C. Government agencies. D. Retail firms. 1. Accessibility of customer complaints and account information. 2. Reporting requirements. IV. Deficiencies in SRO examinations. A. Limited scope of examinations. A. Limited scope of examinations. B. Poor selection of accounts for review. C. Inadequate depth of account examinations. D. Reliance on firms for assistance. E. Failure to resolve disputed issues of fact. F. Inadequate resources. V. Remedial actions. A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding. B. Use of informal sanctions. C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies. D. SRO internal supervision. E. Restitution as a sanction. F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note. VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards. Table I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs. Table II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ. Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen. Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen. Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen. Table IV—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen. Table IV—Summary of SRO options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table VII—Summary of SRO options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table VII—Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973-1978 (App. D). Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F). Summary of SRO financ	CHAPTER VI—Continued
A. Public customers B. SROS. 1. Sharing of information 2. Coordination of compliance programs C. Government agencies D. Retail firms 1. Accessibility of customer complaints and account information. 2. Reporting requirements IV. Deficiencies in SRO examinations. A. Limited scope of examinations. B. Poor selection of accounts for review. C. Inadequate depth of account examinations. D. Reliance on firms for assistance. E. Failure to resolve disputed issues of fact. F. Inadequate resources. V. Remedial actions. A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding. B. Use of informal sanctions. C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies. D. SRO internal supervision E. Restitution as a sanction. F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note. VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards. Table I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs. Table III—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ. Table III—Summary of SRO examination on selected securities salesmen. Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practice and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000. Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table VI—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC. Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the Options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973-1978 (App. D). Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E). Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice avamina.	III. Obtaining compliance information
B. SROs. 1. Sharing of information 2. Coordination of compliance programs C. Government agencies D. Retail firms 1. Accessibility of customer complaints and account information. 2. Reporting requirements. IV. Deficiencies in SRO examinations. A. Limited scope of examinations. B. Poor selection of accounts for review. C. Inadequate depth of account examinations. D. Reliance on firms for assistance. E. Failure to resolve disputed issues of fact. F. Inadequate resources. V. Remedial actions. A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding. B. Use of informal sanctions. C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies. D. SRO internal supervision E. Restitution as a sanction. F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note. VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards. Table I.—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs. Table III—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ. Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen. Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000. Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table V—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC. Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options to RNO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973-1978 (App. D). Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E). Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice asamina-	A. Public customers
2. Coordination of compliance programs C. Government agencies D. Retail firms 1. Accessibility of customer complaints and account information. 2. Reporting requirements IV. Deficiencies in SRO examinations. A. Limited scope of examinations B. Poor selection of accounts for review. C. Inadequate depth of account examinations. D. Reliance on firms for assistance. E. Failure to resolve disputed issues of fact. F. Inadequate resources V. Remedial actions. A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding. B. Use of informal sanctions. C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies. D. SRO internal supervision E. Restitution as a sanction. F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note. VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards. Table I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs. Table III—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ. Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen. Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977 Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000. Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table VI—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC. Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973-1978 (App. D). Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E). Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice ayamina.	B. SROs
C. Government agencies D. Retail firms 1. Accessibility of customer complaints and account information 2. Reporting requirements IV. Deficiencies in SRO examinations A. Limited scope of examinations B. Poor selection of accounts for review C. Inadequate depth of account examinations D. Reliance on firms for assistance E. Failure to resolve disputed issues of fact F. Inadequate resources V. Remedial actions A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding B. Use of informal sanctions C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies D. SRO internal supervision E. Restitution as a sanction E. Restitution as a sanction E. Restitution as a sanction Table II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ. Table II—Summary of SRO examination on selected securities salesmen Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000. Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table VI—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC. Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D). Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E). Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F). Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice avamina-	1. Sharing of information
D. Retail firms 1. Accessibility of customer complaints and account information. 2. Reporting requirements. IV. Deficiencies in SRO examinations. A. Limited scope of examinations. B. Poor selection of accounts for review. C. Inadequate depth of account examinations. D. Reliance on firms for assistance. E. Failure to resolve disputed issues of fact. F. Inadequate resources. V. Remedial actions. A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding. B. Use of informal sanctions. C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies. D. SRO internal supervision E. Restitution as a sanction. F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note. VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards. Table I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs. Table III—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ. Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen. Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practice and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000. Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table VI—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC. Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D). Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E). Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. II, 1978) (App. F). Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	2. Coordination of compliance programs
2. Reporting requirements IV. Deficiencies in SRO examinations A. Limited scope of examinations B. Poor selection of accounts for review C. Inadequate depth of account examinations D. Reliance on firms for assistance E. Failure to resolve disputed issues of fact. F. Inadequate resources V. Remedial actions A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding B. Use of informal sanctions C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies D. SRO internal supervision E. Restitution as a sanction F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards Table I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs Table II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm X YZ Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000 Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978 Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. II, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	D. Patail firms
2. Reporting requirements IV. Deficiencies in SRO examinations A. Limited scope of examinations B. Poor selection of accounts for review C. Inadequate depth of account examinations D. Reliance on firms for assistance E. Failure to resolve disputed issues of fact. F. Inadequate resources V. Remedial actions A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding B. Use of informal sanctions C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies D. SRO internal supervision E. Restitution as a sanction F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards Table I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs Table II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm X YZ Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000 Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978 Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. II, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	1 Accessibility of customer complaints and ac
2. Reporting requirements. IV. Deficiencies in SRO examinations. A. Limited scope of examinations. B. Poor selection of accounts for review. C. Inadequate depth of account examinations. D. Reliance on firms for assistance. E. Failure to resolve disputed issues of fact. F. Inadequate resources. V. Remedial actions. A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding. B. Use of informal sanctions. C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies. D. SRO internal supervision. E. Restitution as a sanction. F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note. VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards. Table I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs. Table II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ. Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen. Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000. Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC. Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D). Letter for Wan P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F). Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice avamina-	count information
IV. Deficiencies in SRO examinations. A. Limited scope of examinations. B. Poor selection of accounts for review. C. Inadequate depth of account examinations. D. Reliance on firms for assistance. E. Failure to resolve disputed issues of fact. F. Inadequate resources. V. Remedial actions. A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding. B. Use of informal sanctions. C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies. D. SRO internal supervision. E. Restitution as a sanction. F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note. VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards. Table I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs. Table II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ. Table II—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen. Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000. Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table V—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC. Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the Options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D). Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E). Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F). Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice avamina-	2. Reporting requirements
A. Limited scope of examinations B. Poor selection of accounts for review C. Inadequate depth of account examinations D. Reliance on firms for assistance E. Failure to resolve disputed issues of fact F. Inadequate resources V. Remedial actions A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding B. Use of informal sanctions C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies D. SRO internal supervision E. Restitution as a sanction F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards Table I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs Table II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ. Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977 Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000 Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table VI—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC. Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice avamina-	IV. Deficiencies in SRO examinations
B. Poor selection of accounts for review	A. Limited scope of examinations
C. Inadequate depth of account examinations D. Reliance on firms for assistance E. Failure to resolve disputed issues of fact F. Inadequate resources V. Remedial actions. A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding. B. Use of informal sanctions. C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies. D. SRO internal supervision E. Restitution as a sanction. F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note. VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards. Table I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs. Table II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ. Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen. Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000_ Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC. Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the Options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D). Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E). Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F).	B. Poor selection of accounts for review
E. Failure to resolve disputed issues of fact. F. Inadequate resources V. Remedial actions. A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding. B. Use of informal sanctions. C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies. D. SRO internal supervision. E. Restitution as a sanction. F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note. VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards. Pable I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs. Table III—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ. Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen. Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000. Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC. Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D). Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E). Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F). Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice avamina-	C. Inadequate depth of account examinations
F. Inadequate resources V. Remedial actions A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding. B. Use of informal sanctions C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies. D. SRO internal supervision. E. Restitution as a sanction F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note. VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards. Table I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs. Table II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ. Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen. Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000_ Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC. Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D). Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F). Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice ayamina.	D. Reliance on firms for assistance
V. Remedial actions. A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding. B. Use of informal sanctions. C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies. D. SRO internal supervision. E. Restitution as a sanction. F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note. VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards. Pable I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs. Table II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ. Table II—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen. Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000_ Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC. Exhibits: Options study questionnaire sent to the Options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D). Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E). Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F). Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice avamina-	E. Failure to resolve disputed issues of fact
A. Extent of disciplinary proceeding. B. Use of informal sanctions. C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies. D. SRO internal supervision. E. Restitution as a sanction. F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note. VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards. Pable I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs. Pable II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ. Pable III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen. Pable IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Pable V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000_ Pable VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Pable VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC. Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D). Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E). Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F). Summary of statistics relating to SRO routing sales practice axamina.	F. Inadequate resources
B. Use of informal sanctions C. Reasons for inadequate sanctions—restrictive SRO policies D. SRO internal supervision E. Restitution as a sanction F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards Fable I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs Fable II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ Fable III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen Fable IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977 Fable V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000 Fable VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978 Fable VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973-1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routing sales practice examina-	v. Remedial actions
D. SRO internal supervision E. Restitution as a sanction F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards Fable I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs Fable II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ Fable III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen Fable IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977 Fable V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examina- tions of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000 Fable VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978 Fable VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973-1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routing sales practice avamina-	R. Use of informal canations
D. SRO internal supervision E. Restitution as a sanction F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards Fable I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs Fable II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ Fable III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen Fable IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977 Fable V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examina- tions of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000 Fable VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978 Fable VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973-1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routing sales practice avamina-	C. Reasons for inadequate canations restrictive SPO
F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note	nolicies national sanctions—restrictive of the
F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note	D. SRO internal supervision
VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards Table I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs Table II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examina- tions of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000 Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973-1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	E. Restitution as a sanction
VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards Table I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs Table II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977 Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examina- tions of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000 Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978 Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	F. SRO disciplinary proceedings: a final note
Pable I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice) examinations conducted by SROs Table II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm X YZ Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977 Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examina- tions of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000 Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978 Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	VI. The need for minimum SRO compliance standards
rable II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to firm XYZ. Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen. Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000. Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978. Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC. Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D). Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E). Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F). Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	Table I—Number of options related sales practice (or capital/sales practice)
Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen	examinations conducted by SROs
Table III—Summary of SRO information on selected securities salesmen	Table II—Summary of SRO examination and disciplinary action as to
Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NYSE and complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000_ Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978_ Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examinations and investigations of summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examinations and investigations of summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examinations and investigations of summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examinations and investigations of summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examinations and investigations of summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examinations and investigations of summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examinations and investigations of summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examinations and investigations of summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examinations and investigations of summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examinations and investigations of summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examinations and investigations of summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales prac	Table III Summany of CDO: if any of the last of the la
Complaints received by two NYSE member firms in 1977. Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000_ Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978_ Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D)_ Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E)_ Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F)_ Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	Table IV—Selected comparison of complaints reported to NVCE.
Sales V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000 Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978 Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	complaints received by two NVSF member firms in 1077
SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examinations of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000 Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978 Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	Table V—Summary of types of options related violations detected by
tions of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000 Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978	SROs in their 1977 sales practices and capital/sales practice examina-
Fable VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by the SROs as of March 1978	tions of firms with options commission income in excess of \$1,000,000
Table VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC Exhibits: Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D). Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E). Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	Table VI—Options related sales practice disciplinary actions brought by
Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973-1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	the SROs as of March 1978
Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973-1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	Γable VII—Summary of disciplinary action by an SRO against firm ABC
Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973-1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	
Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*). Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973–1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	
Options study questionnaire sent to the NASD (App. C*). Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973-1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	Options study questionnaire set to the options exchanges (App. A*).
Summary of SRO options related examinations and investigations of firm DEF for 1973-1978 (App. D) Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	Options study questionnaire sent to the NYSE (App. B*).
Letter to Richard Teberg from the Self-Regulatory Conference (App. E) Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	Summary of SPO entions related assertional states of the NASD (App. C*).
Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice evamina-	firm DEF for 1073-1078 (App. D)
Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice evamina-	Letter to Richard Tehers from the Solf Regulatory Conference (A
Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978) (App. F) Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	E)
Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice evamina-	Letter from Van P. Carter to Gerald Foley (NASD) (Oct. 11, 1978)
Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-	
tions (App. G) Summary of SRO financial resources (App. H)	Summary of statistics relating to SRO routine sales practice examina-
Summary of SRO financial resources (App. H)	tions (App. G)
	Summary of SRO financial resources (App. H)

^{*}These appendix exhibits are available publicly in the Commission's public reference room and, therefore, are not published as a part of this report.

XXXII

CHAPTER VII-Financial Regulation in the Options Markets:
Introduction
Broker-dealer financial regulation
2. Commission action
(a) Commission's customer protection rule
(b) Commission's uniform net capital rule
3. The Options Clearing Corporation financial rules
(a) OCC clearing members
(b) OCC member capital requirements
(c) Commission's net capital requirements
(d) OCC settlement requirements
(e) OCC clearing fund
4. Study of the financial integrity of the options markets
(a) Margin and clearing fund deposits.
(b) Market makers and market maker clearing firms 5. Recommended changes to the net capital rule
(a) Concentrated positions
(b) Short positions in near or at-the-money options
(c) Restriction on volume of business carried
(d) Market maker minimum net capital.
(e) OCC members and their affiliates that are market
makers
(f) Immediate charges to carrying firm under the net
capital rule
(g) Conversion—reverse conversion positions
(h) Financial requirements of upstairs dealer firms
Options specialist and stock credit
1. Specialist accounts
2. Good faith credit
3. Free-riding and bona fide hedging
4. Options pricing formula5. Limit on stock qualifing for specialist stock credit
o. Diffit on block qualifing for specialist stock credit
Exhibits:
1. SEC reporting forms X-17A-5 part II and part IIA
2. Options study letter to OCC, dated May 5, 1978 and attachment
3. Options study letters to AMEX, BSE, CBOE, MSE, NASD, NYSE, PHLX, and PSE, dated June 7, June 16, and June 27,
NISE, PHLA, and PSE, dated June 7, June 16, and June 27,
1978 and attachments 4. Options study financial and operational questionnaire:
(a) Form A.
(b) Form B
5. Options floor participant equity:
(a) All options exchanges
(b) AMEX
(c) CBOE
(d) MSE
(e) PSE
(f) PHLX
6. Options floor participant equity requirements
7. Letter from the staff of the Federal Reserve Board, dated December 5, 1078
ber 5, 1978
CHAPTER VIII—Issues of Structure in the Standardized Option Markets:
I. Introduction
II. The statutory standards
A. A national market system
1. A national market system and SEC authority
2. Objectives of a national market system
3. The elimination of unnecessary regulatory re-
strictions
4. Communication among and dissemination of in-
formation about securities markets 5. Multiple trading
o. mainble namigations of the second
B. The basic statutory goals

IIIXXX

CHAPTER VIII—Continued	Page
III. Multiple trading of standardized options	800
A. The effects of multiple trading of standardized options	807
1. The effects of multiple trading on the quality of	
markets for multiply traded option classes	809
2. Competition among market centersB. Market fragmentation	$824 \\ 827$
1. The extent of market fragmentation for multiply	041
traded option classes	828
2. Brokerage firm order routing decisions in the	
fragmented market environment	830
3. Market fragmentation, option pricing, and order interaction	839
C. Conclusions	843
1. The multiple trading of standardized options and	
the Exchange Act	843
 The multiple trading experience	846 849
4. Primary market designations and automated	048
order routing	853
5. The multiple trading of standardized options and	
recent initiatives toward the development of a	004
national market systemIV. The integration of trading of options and their underlying	864
Securities.	870
A. The general considerations	876
1. The quality of markets	877
2. The regulatory concerns	880
(a) Market information and competitive advantage	880
(b) Manipulation and other improper trad-	000
ing practices	885
(c) Potential conflicts in marketmaking ob-	
ligations (d) Market suppositiones	892
(d) Market surveillance3. The extent of integration	896 900
4. Characteristics of the exchange	903
(a) Primary and secondary exchanges	904
(b) The marketmaking systems.	907
B. Conclusions 1. The gradual approach	$\frac{916}{916}$
2. Principles of general applicability and the statu-	910
tory standards	917
3. The principles applied	920
V. An over-the-counter market for standardized options	926
A. Standardized options and underlying securities traded exclusively in the over-the-counter markets	930
1. The absence of real-time last sale reporting for	930
underlying securities traded exclusively in the	
over-the-counter markets	933
2. Representative bid and ask quotations for secu-	000
rities traded in the over-the-counter markets_B. Trading exchange listed options in the over-the-counter	938
markets	945
1. Fragmentation and internalization	946
2. Market information and competitive advantages	
of over-the-counter marketmakers 3. Over-the-counter markets and a national market	948
system which would include standardized	
options	951
U. The integration of trading of options and their underlying	
securities in the over-the-counter markets	952
1. Market information, competitive advantage and improper trading practices	956
2. Marketmaking obligations and commitment to	900
the marketplace	963
3. Market surveillance in the over-the-counter	0.00
markets	968

XXXIV

CHAPTER VIII—Continued	Pa
D. Conclusions	9'
I. Real-time last sale reporting and representative	
bid and ask quotations2. An over-the-counter market for options traded	9
on exchanges	9'
on exchanges	
markets	98
trading	98
A. The predominant position of the New York Stock Ex-	0
change in the markets for underlying securities 1. NYSE market share	$\frac{98}{98}$
2. NYSE financial resources	98
3. NYSE marketmaking resources	99
4. Additional NYSE resources	98
B. Potential NYSE predominance of the standardized options markets.	99
1. NYSE and the primary market designation	99
2. NYSE facilities advantage	99
3. NYSE advantage with respect to combined	0
orders4. NYSE financial resources	99
5. NYSE marketmaking resources and advantages.	99
6. NYSE ability to attract talent	100
C. Conclusions 1. The predominant position of the New York	100
Stock Exchange	100
2. The New York Stock Exchange and the statutory	100
dilemma	10
3. A cautious approach	102
A. Options and the evolving national market system	$\frac{102}{102}$
B. Options and the objectives of a national market system.	103
C. The form of a national market system	103
 A comprehensive quotation system Market linkage and order routing systems 	103
3. Nationwide limit order protection	$\frac{104}{104}$
4. Off-board trading restrictions	104
Table 1—Call option classes which have been listed on two or more options	
exchanges Table 2—Summary of price continuity data for call options multiply	105
traded on CBOE and AMEX	108
Table 3—Summary bid/ask spread data for call options multiply traded on the CBOE and AMEX	
Table 4—Total contract volume for call options listed on both AMEX	105
and CBOE	105
on both a primary and secondary exchange	105
Table 6—Summary bid/ask spread data for call options multiply traded	100
on both a primary and Secondary exchange	105
Table 7A—Weekly average variation in price per transaction for DuPont Corp. call options on AMEX for the three months prior to and following initiation of multiple trading.	101
initiation of multiple trading	105
Corp. call options on CBOE for the three months following initiation	105
of multiple trading Table 8A—Weekly average bid/ask spread for DuPont Corp. call options on AMEX for the three months projects and following initiation of	100
on AMEX for the three months proir to and following initiation of multiple trading. Table 8B—Weekly average bid/ask spread for DuPont Corp. call options	108
Table 8B—Weekly average bid/ask spread for DuPont Corp. call options on CBOE for the three months following initiation of multiple trading.	104
Table 9A—Weekly average variation in price per transaction for Merrill	100
Lynch call options on AMEX for the three months prior to and fol-	
lowing initiation of multiple trading	106

XXXV

Table 9B—Weekly average variation in price per transaction for Merrill Lynch call options on CBOE for the three months following initiation of multiple trading	Page
Table 10A—Weekly average bid/ask spread for Merrill Lynch call options on AMEX for the 3 months prior to the following initiation of multiple trading.	1063
Table 10B—Weekly average bid/ask spread for Merrill Lynch call options	
on CBOE for the 3 months following initiation of multiple trading Table 11A—Summary price continuity and bid/ask spread information	1064
organized by size of option premium for Dupont Corporation—Table 11B—Summary price continuity and bid/ask spread information	1065
organized by size of option premium from Burroughs Corporation——Table 11C—Summary price continuity and bid/ask spread information organized by size of option premium for Digital Equipment Corpora-	1067
Table 12—Exchange market share in options listed on both a primary and secondary exchange for selected days from February 24, 1977 through	1069
August 31, 1977. Table 13—Exchange market share in options listed on CBOE and AMEX	1071
for selected days from February 24, 1977 through August 31, 1977 Table 14—Exchange market share in options which were multiply traded	1072
for selected days from February 24, 1977 through August 31, 1977 Table 15—Contract volume and market share of the CBOE and AMEX	1073
for August 1978	1074
from 1/1/78 to 10/31/78. Table 17A—CBOE market share in national semiconductor.	1075
Table 17B—CBOE market share in digital equipment.	$\frac{1076}{1077}$
Table 17C—CBOE market share in Bally	1078
Table 17D—CBOE market share in American Express Table 18—Comparison of Bally Mfg. opening prices on AMEX and CBOE, September 1, 1978	1079 1080
September 1, 1978	1081
Table 20—Total options net income for secondary exchanges and percentage of total exchange net income	1081
Table 21—Total revenues derived from option transaction charges on secondary exchanges and percentage of total options revenues Table 22—CBOE Table A: N YSE market share	1081 1082
Table 23—CBOE Table B: Distribution of market in certain common	
stocks selected and eligible for exchange option trading	1083 1084
Appendix Exhibits *:	
1. Letter to George A. Fitzsimmons, Securities and Exchange Commission from James E. Buck, secretary, New York Stock Exchange dated September 22, 1978; and Letter to George A. Fitzsimmons, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, from James E. Buck, secretary, New York Stock Exchange, dated November 29, 1978.	
2. Letter to George A. Fitzsimmons, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, from Joseph W. Sullivan, president, Chicago Board Options Exchange, dated September 22, 1978.	
3. Letter to George A. Fitzsimmons, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, from Elkins Wetherill, president, Philadelphia Stock Exchange, dated September 25, 1978.	
4. Letter to George A. Fitzsimmons, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, from Charles J. Henry, president, Pacific Stock Exchange, dated September 22, 1978.	
5. Letter to George A. Fitzsimmons, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, from Robert J. Birnbaum, president, American Stock Exchange, dated September 29, 1978.	
* '	

^{*}These Appendix Exhibits are publicly available in the Commission's Public Reference Room and, therefore are not published as a part of this report.

XXXVI

Appendix Exhibits: Continued

- 6. Letter to George A. Fitzsimmons, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, from Gordon S. Macklin, president, National Association of Securities Dealers, dated September 22, 1978.
- 7. Letter to Richard I. Weingarten, special counsel, Special Study of the Options Markets, from Joseph W. Sullivan, president, Chicago Board Options Exchange, dated October 11, 1978.
- 8. Pacific Stock Exchange Study comparing Pacific Stock Exchange and Chicago Board Options Exchange markets in Houston Oil and Minerals Corporation and Teledyne Corporation during December, 1976.