NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SECURITIES DEALERS, INC.

1980 Annual Report

Highlights of 1980



All-time record highs for the NASDAQ Composite Index and NASDAQ share volume marked the sixth successive year of the bull market for NASDAQ securities (Pages 4-5)

Published Spreads on Securities after Inside	NASDAQ Quotations
NARROWED	86.5%
UNCHANGED	10.8%
WIDENED	2.7%
	1000 B / W

MARKET SURVEILLANCE kept pace with the record activity, with (Pages 10–11)

- 4,14° on-line computer notifications of price parameter breaks
- 3;592 on-line and routine surveillance reviews of unusual activity

73 formal investigations

as did BHOKER/DEALER SURVEILLANCE, with (Pages 12-73)

2,179 main office routine examinations

- 125 financial & operational examinations
- 537 special examinations
- 315 branch office examinations
- 259 formal & summary complaints



The most significant market information improvement since the start-up of the NASDAQ System in 1971 was the display of inside quotations—the highest bids and the lowest offers—in July 1980. This sharply narrowed the published spreads (left) and increased visibility (right) for NASDAQ securities. (Page 4)

A \$10 million upgrade of the NASDAO System got underway, to increase the capacity and efficiency of the OTC market and to provide it with new surveillance and clearing services. (Pages 8–9)



CORPORATE OFFERINGS

1980

1979

1978

1977

1976

(millions of dollars)

12,258
510,286
510,319 (Page 12)
\$10,973
\$27.599

\$6,627

\$3.677

\$2,836

\$1,902

\$1,299

Heprinted by courteny of FORTUNE

MEMBER FIRMS The 3 166 2813 2,933 3 2 REGISTERED REFRESENTATIVES Thousands 225 200 944 195.674 190.862 191-786 180 749 18.1 656 196 206 200 175 150

As corporate offerings reached new record levels, the landmark SEC approval of the NASD's "Papilsky" rules package preserved and reaffirmed the fixed price distribution system. (Page 16)

				-	
;	-				
				1.1	
		, ÷			
		· .	• •		

NASD membership and Registered Representatives rose significantly for the first time in years, and the Central Registration Depository moved to the verge of 1981 implementation. (Page 17)



Automating 70% of the qualifications examinations administered by the NASD made testing more expeditious for securities professionals (Page 17)

For National Market System development, an NASD subsidiary invested \$2.5 million in a Computer Assisted Execution System (CAES) and a Switch linking the OTC and exchange markets. (Pages 6-7)



î

Chairman's and President's Report





James F. Keegan, Chairman

Gordon S. Macklin, President

1980 will be remembered as a year of record prices and volume for NASDAO securities coupled with a record level of activity within almost every area of NASE responsibility. While-the single most significant development of the year may well be the reaffirming of the fixed price distribution system of underwriting, there were many actions taken during the year which will prepare the NASD to operate and regulate the over-the counter market of the future.

NASDAQ

In the NASDAO System, the six-year bull market climbed to new price and volume highs. The NAS-DAO Composite Index was up 34% over 1979, the previous record year, and volume rose 83%. This price performance topped that of the NYSE Composite Index by 32%, and NASDAO share volume grew to nearly 60% of NYSE share volume. The number of NASDAQ-quoted companies rose to 2,800, 300 more than in 1979 and the highest level since 1974.

The most significant NASDAC development of 1980 was the introduction of inside quotations, the highest bids and lowest offers on NASDAQ securities, onto the desk-top terminals of Registered Representatives and into newpapers throughout the nation. Inside quotations dramatically narrowed the published spreads on 85% of NASDAQ securities and made more visible the quality of the markets which have long existed for NASDAQ securities.

Plans were completed during the year for a \$10 million upgrade of virtually all of the facilities connected to the NASDAQ System. The System's new central computers, when they are fully installed in 1981, will have triple the memory capacity and twice the speed of the ones they replace, the new NASDAQ terminals will have eight times the display capacity of the old ones, and the reliability of the entire System will be greatly enhanced.

CORPORATE FINANCING

Over \$27 billion of corporate offerings, also a record level, were field by members with the NASD during the year. This compares to \$12.5 billion in 1975 Filings of direct participation programs amounted to \$6.6 billion, nearly double the level filed in 1979

In addition to reviewing the underwriting terms of offerings filed, the NASD conducted seminars in four cities throughout the country on due diligence invesir gations. Over 400 securities professionals attended the seminars which should serve to improve members, knowledge of their responsibilities in this area

The most far reaching development in 1980 relating to corporate financing was the SEC's approval of the Association's so-called "Papilsky" rules which preserve and reaffirm the fixed price distribution system of underwriting. Resolution of the questions relating to the Association's underwriting rules was an important achievement brought about by a superb cooperative effort involving the Securities Industry Association as well as the SEC and the NASD.

SURVEILLAMCE

In keeping with the record level of activity during the year, NASD Market Surveillance set a record of 3,600 reviews of unusual activity in NASDAQ securities, compared to 0,300 in 1979. Meaningful progress was made in the establishment of timely quotation halts in conjunction with the release of important news by NASDAQ companies. There were 532 quotation halts initiated during the year, in contrast to 482 in 1979.

Broker/dealer surveillance, in which more than half of the industry representatives serving on Association committees are directly engaged, substantially exceeded previous levels in terms of the number and scope of on-sile examinations of member tirms. Praticular effort was directed in 1980 to branch office examinations and anti-fraud activity.

The surveillance capabilities of the NASD will be substantially elevated as a result of the work initiated this past year to construct a Market Information Data Access System, called MIDAS, that will provide instantaneous access to historical quotations of all market makers in NASDAQ securities

NATIONAL MARKET SYSTEM

Adoption by the SEC in July 1980 of Rule 19c-3, which allows exchange members to make off-board markets in securities listed on an exchange after April 26, 1979, is a major step in the evolving National Market System. However, after six months of experience with Rule 19c-3, it is evident that the experiment is proceeding slowly, as many of us predicted. Most OTC market makers terminate their sponsorship of a security when it hists because nearly all currently functioning automated routing systems direct order flow an listed securities only to exchange floors.

NASE Marke' Services, Inc., a subsidiary of the

Association, committed \$2.5 million to build a message switch and Computer Assisted Execution System (CAES) which will give off-board market makers the rapid and economical access to retail order flow that is needed to compete with exchanges. A CAES pilot linkage between market makers and retail order departments will become operational in early 1981, and a linkage between CAES and the Intermarket Trading System of the exchanges is to follow.

MEMBERSHIP

The Association's membership grew to 2,933 firms, 132 more than in 1979 and the largest number since 1975, while the number of Registered Representatives rose to 196,000, 13,000 more than in 1979 and also the highest since 1975.

During the year, development of the battery of qualifying tests needed to cover the full range of members' business activities was completed. Further, 70% of the examinations taken by candidates were administered on the automated PLATO System.

As a result of an historic cooperative agreement between the NASD and the North American Securities Administrators Association, construction was initiated on the Central Registration Depository (CRD), with start-up scheduled for April of 1981. This System will provide for the licensing and registration of representatives with exchanges, the NASD and participating states through a single form and a single check mailed to the NASD. Implementation of CRD will greatly reclude members' costs for bringing new personnel into a productive state.

MANAGING 1981

Based on the accomplishments and initiatives taken during the past year, 1980 can be viewed as one of the most significant in the forty-one years of the Association's existence. Substantial progress was made to prepare the over-the-counter market and the NASD for the increasingly complex challenges of the future Continued progress, as with progress in the past, will be the product of the skills and time commitment of those who participate in the Association's activities. An important step was taken in late 1980 with the creation of four more Governor-at-Large positions on the Board of Governors. These new positions will be filled in future years by outstanding representatives from such industry-related professions as accounting and the law, as well as additional executives of NASDAQ-quoted companies. The expertise of such Governors-at-Large, together with the talent and dedication of the hundreds of industry volunteers who guide the alfairs of the NASD, gives us every reason to face the luture with confidence.

Respectfully submitted,

James F. Keegan, *Ci-airman*

Gordon S. Macklin. President

- / Marelli



Board of Governors for 1981 includes (seated, from eff) James F. Wade, Vice Chairman William B. Maddon, Chairman L. C. Petersen, Vice Chairman Finance James J. McCormack Mason F. New, Williamson S. Stuckey, Jr. (standing, first row, from left) Edward S. Amoid, Shannon Michael Drew, Waiter N. Fruibick III, Ernest F. Rice, Jr. Gordon S. Macklin, James W. Batzlatt, Benjamin L. Lubin, David Marcus, Conrad S. Young V. Lir coln Mossop, Jr. (standing, second row from left) Robert H. Atkinson, Jr. Vernon B. Willis, Glenn F. Oxner, Norman T. Wild S, Jr., A. James Jacoby, H. Li wienice Parker, J. M. Hill, Other 1983 Governorare Andrew M. Blum, Frances B. Dyleski, Ray J. Groves, Bruce A. Mann, B. Mills Sinclair and Donald E. Weston



in 1980, the six-year bull market for NASDAQ securities reached all-time record levels

 The NASDAQ Composite Index closed 1980 at 202.34, up 34% for the year and 240% since the end of 1974;

 NASDAO share volume of 6 69 billion shares was 83% higher than in 1979 and better than 5 ½ times the volume in 1974, and

 The market value of the 2,700 domestic. common stocks on NASDAQ at the end of the year was \$122 billion, compared to a market value of \$92 billion for 2,375 stocks in 1979

The great growth in NASDAQ share volume brought it to nearly 60% of the volume on the NYSE and to over four times the volume on the AMEX.

NASDAQ & 1980 Share	the Exchanges Volume
NASDAO	6 601 620 000

AMEX	6.691.630,900
NYSE	1 625.790,000 11,352 293,351

Three major developments accentuated the activity records.

Inside Quotations

Inside quotations on NASDAQ securities-the highest bids and the lowest asks--replaced the Representative Bid/Ask quotations in July Inside quotations benefitted issuers and investors more than any market information improvement since the startup of the NASDAQ System in 1971, by narrowing published spreads

Published Spreads o Securities after Inside	n NASDAQ e Quotations
NARROWED	86.5%
UMCHANGED	10.8%
WIDENED	2.7%

and by heightening the newspaper visibility of NASDAO securities.



Higher Qualification Standards

Higher qualification standards for NASDAQ securities were proposed by the Association, doubling the present entry requirements to \$2 million in total assets and \$1 million in capital and surplus, and raising the requirements for continued quotation by 50%. The proposal, which requires SEC approval, would hit NASDAQ qualification standards to ongoing economic realities.

Voluntary Display of Size

The voluntary display of size by NASDAQ marker makers, along with their quotations, was under consideration at the end of 1980. This enhancement would allow market makers to commit themselves if they so chose, for more than a normal unit of machine Investors would benefit because market maker competition would become more intense, and orders could be executed faster, since more information on market maker: ' bids and offers would be displayed on NASDAO screens.

- Rive



Russell H. Baumgardner (second from left). Chairman of the Board and President of NASDACHquoled Apogee Enterprises, Inc. and a member of the NASD Corporate Advisory Committee, was the opening speaker at the October 1930 NASDAQ Company-NASD Consultation meeting in Chicago. Others on the speakers' panel were (from left) David S. Ruder. Dean and Professor of Law, Northwestern University Schipal of Law, John H. Hodges, JL. NASD Senior Vice President, Member Services-NASDAQ, NASD Governor Ernest F. Rice, Jr ; 1980 NASD Chairman James Keegan; and Douglas H. Curris, NASDAQ Curporate

NASDAQ Company Involvement in the NASD=

Company Flepresentation on NASD Board

The role of NASDAQ-quoted companies in the NASD, steadily on the increase since 1975, continued to expand in 1980. J.M. Hill, President of Rangaire Corporation, was elected to the NASD Board as a Governor-at-Large for 1981-84 Also, the membership of the Association approved a proposal to add four Governors-at-_arge to the Board from NASDAQ companies and accounting and legal and other professions involved with the OTC market.



1980 NASDAQ Company-NASD **Consultations**

To bring NASDAQ companies up to date on developments and opportunities in the market for their securities, the Association in October conducterf its annual program of NASDAQ Company-NASE Consultation meetings in Chicago (above) and 14 other othes across the country. Attendance consisted of 579 executives from 442 companies. Topics discussed were (1) how progressive NASDAO companies attract investors (2) how market makers select their favorite NASDAQ securities (3) NASDAQ's six-year buil market (4) market surveillance (5) corporate responsibility.

Corporate Consultants' Program

Three NASDAQ Corporate Consultants were appointed during the year, Douglas H. Curtis (in picture above) for the Midwest, Raymond J. Kiernan for the Southeast and Lewis M. Weston for the Northeast.





Lewis M. Weston

Their function is pladvise NASDAQ companies on how best to maximize the benefits of the services which the NASD, the NASDAQ System and the OTC market provide. Companies drew extensively on the consultants' services

The Corporate Advisory Committee

Mr. Hill assumed the Chairmanship of the Corporate Advisory Committee, which played a key role in the introduction of inside quotations and the proposals for higher qualification standards and the display of size. The Committee also developed NASD policy in the corporate governance area, positions on SEC regulatory proposals and Association services to NASDAC companies (right) In 1981, the Committee will be enlarged to broaden the geographic and industry category representation of companies.

For OTC Participation in a National Market System

An unprecedented build-up of facilities to make the OTC market a strong force in a Neuorae

and the set of the set

Situation: The 19c-3 Experiment

the NASD strongly endorsed an experiment in competition between Oto narket makers and exchanges. The experiment began in July, when SEC Rule 19c-3 permitted the OTC departments of exchange member firms to make off board markets in listed securities, which had previously been forbidden hy exchange rules. The securities involved were

As had been anticipated, the experiment proceeded slowly Al year and 31 secontics tirms were niaking The markets in 57 of the 20x 19c 3 eligible securities

Response CAES

To strengther, the competitive position of market makers NASD Marker Services. Inc. put in place a message switching computer (opposite page) which will operate a new Computer Assisted Execution System (CAES) CAES will permit broker/dealers to execute transactions over the counter as choopmisally as they are parented in an exchange.

Off board volume in these securities was 11.5% of total consolidated volume

Both exchange member and non-member marker makers limited their participation in the experiment because the automated order routing system of the exchanges temporarily gave floor specialists a compatitive advantage. It was easier and them concentral for order departments to according to specialists, so that where off board market manaoffered the same or even a better price for second they were not likely to get sufficient order how

In 1961, CAES will become operational in a mich linkage between the upstairs order come of levelo exchange member firms and fourteen off loans market mikers for relail order flow in selo for the and off en listed securition.



Proposed ITS Link

Beyond the pilor linkage, an automated connection between the CAES and the Intermorker Frading System of the stock exchanges was explored by the NASD and the NYSE This would open the way to broad competition between off board market makers



A \$12.5 Million Upgrading of OTC Facilities

The sophisticated message-switching computer whose control panel is shown above, is part of the S2.5 million investment by NASD Market Services. Inc. in CAES and in the inkage of the OTC and listed markets. The much arger investment of S10 million by NASDAQ, no will totally renew and expand the NASDAQ System (next pages) with more powerful rapid and reliable central computers network controls, concentrators and 1,700 NASDAQ terminals on NASDAQ s. 3,000 million grading filod.

New Central Computer will meet demands of far higher share days on the NASDAQ System, and also support CAES, the ITS interface, MIDAS (Page 11), TARS (Page 13) and CRD (Page 17).

Network Control Panel for upgraded NASDAQ System provides early warning of troubles in telephone lines and terminals of the System and pinpoints problems that have arisen.





Market Surveillance



Procedure

With a combination of electronic tools and professional analysts, NASD Market Surveillance seeks to deter and detect manipulative practices and insider trading in NASDAG securities. The NASDAQ computer is programmed with price parameters for NASDAO securities, when a security breaks those parameters the computer immediately notifies Market Surveillance and the analysts go to work to determine whether the unusual behavior of the stock was the result of legitimate market forces or improper activity

-



For NASDAQ Securities ____

Statistics

During the intense market activity of 1980, NASD Market Surveillance

Responded to 4,141 on-line notifications from the NASDAC computer of price parameter breaks. compared to 1,600 such notifications in 1979;

Completed 3,592 on-line and routine reviews of unusual activity, compared to 2,270 in 1979;

Conducted 73 formal investigations of possibly improper activity, compared to 60 in 1979; and

Referred 24 of its formal investigation reports to NASD District Offices, the NASD's Anti-Fraud Section and to the SEO, for further investigation and disciple nary action, where appropriate, compared to 23 such referrals in 1979.

Nine disciplinary actions on cases developed by Market Surveillance became final.

Quotations Halts

The quotations halt procedure is an integral part of NASD Market Surveillance activity. Under the procedure, quotations are halted on a security while important news about its issuer, which could affect the

price of the stock, is being disseminated. The halt gives investors the opportunity to make decisions on the basis of fail and equal access to the news.



There were 532 quotations halts in 1980, compared to 482 in 1979. The great majority of the halts were initiated with the full assistance of NASDAQ-quoted

companies, who are aware that the procedure protects their relations with investors and market makers

The MIDAS Touch

As a result of developmental work in 1980, the battery of NASD Market Surveillance tools will be augmented in 1981 by the Market Information Data Access System (MIDAS). MIDAS will provide on-line access to an historical data base of minute-by-minute market maker price movements, which will be very helpful in precisely reconstructing and analyzing market activity under investigation

Broker/Dealer Surveillance



District Committee officers for 1981 include (seated from left) J. Sheldon Jones, Arnoxi L. Hoffman, Murray L. Finebaum, Edwin J. Pittock, (standing, first row from left) Carl Hohnbaum, David B. Coates, G. C. McCall, Jr., John E. McTavish (standing, second, ow, from left) H. Keith Allen, Julian F. Gillespie, John L. Dolphin, Bernard R. Bober and Carl P. Sher: Other District Charmien are C. Thomas Alay, Jul. Joseph M. Hickey and Peter K. Loeb

Examination Program

In meeting the Association's responsibilities for investor protection, the District Offices across the country (*front cover*) completed 2.179 main office routine, 125 financial and operational 537 special (or "for cause") and 315 branch office examinations. All member firms designated by the SEC to the NASD for financial responsibility purposes were given at least one main office routine examination during the year.

Disciplinary Actions

After review of all the examination reports, the thirteen District Business Conduct Committees (Pages 20-24) filed 252 formal and 7 summary complaints and accepted 51 Letters of Admission. Waiver and Consent (compared to 252 complaints and 59 letters in 1979) These actions resulted in the expulsion of 4 firms (15 in 1979), the barring of 52 individuals (90 in 1979), the suspension of 3 firms (6 in 1979) and the suspension of 49 individuals (54 in 1979)

Self-Liquidation

In the year, the Association monitored the successful self-liquidation of five member firms designated to it for financial responsibility purposes. Since the inception of the program in 1975, the self-liquidation of 70 firms has led to the distribution of some \$56 million to customers and broker/dealers.

The second se

SIPC Referrals & Trustees

Two SIPC trustees were appointed for broker/dealers designated to the NASD, and five altogether. In addition, six firms were on report to SIPC at year end as being in or approaching financial difficulty.

Free-Riding Questionnaires

Because of the rebirth of the hot new issue market, free-riding questionnaires for 78 issues were authorized and nearly 3,000 copies of the questionnaires forwarded to members. In 1979, questionnaires were sent for only 20 issues.

Customer Complaints

In the year, 1,071 customer complaints were received and 984 resolved. In 1979 and 1978, customer complaints received were 816 and 525, respectively.

Anti-Fraud Section

The Anti-Fraud Section opened seven new investigations during the year and referred seven cases to the District Offices. Since September 1980, the Section has been working with the NASD's Denver office and the SEC Division of Enforcement on investigations of several speculative new offerings.

Trade Acceptance & Reconciliation Service (TARS)

To facilitate clearing during high market activity, NASD Market Services, Inc. developed the concept of an automated OTC trade data reporting system, which will make possible comparison of trades. through the NASDAQ System. The Trade Acceptance & Reconciliation Service (TARS) is to be implemented in a joint effort with the National Securities Clearing Corporation (NSCC).



Corporate Financing

A Dramatic Increase

Corporate financing activity of NASD members and investors in 1980 increased even more dramatically over 1979 than did activity in NASDAQ securities. Public offerings of corporate debt and equity securities filed with the NASD had two-and-a-half times the dollar value of such offerings in the previous year, and the value of direct participation program and HEIT filings was 80% higher. The Association's Corporate Financing Department reviewed these offerings to determine the fairness and reasonableness of the underwriting terms and arrangements.

Corporate Offerings

Year	Number Filed	Creation
1973	873	Gross Amount
1974	382	\$10,457,624,923
1975	478	\$ 6,906,023,659 \$10,500,000
1976	502	\$12,522,667,348
1377	460	\$12,257,751,962
1978	540	\$10,285,528,468 \$10,218,200,000
1979	619	\$10,318,820,869 \$10,972,669,051
1980	1279	\$27,598,722,569

Direct Participation Programs and REITs

Year	Number Filed	Crons the
1973	555	Gross Arnount
1974	319	\$ 3,673,227,085
1975	252	\$ 1,751,121,394
1976	204	\$ 1,144,098,931
1977		\$ 1,298,730,433
1978	191	\$ 1,901,639,902
1979	220	\$ 2,835,7 6,107
1980	251	\$ 3,676,564,420
1300	349	\$ 6,626,568,462

A Clearer Outlook

The overall outlook for future underwriting activity was claufied in December 1980, when the fixed price distribution system was reaffirmed as a result of SEC approval of the NASD's so-called "Papilsky" rule package (See Page 14.)

No Filing of Private Offerings

The specific question of whether private offerings of direct participation programs would have to be filed with the NASD was resolved. A study of 1,415 private offerings showed that their underwriting arrangements and levels of compensation did not vary significantly from those normally found in public offerings, and that only a small percentage of the offerings studied posed any regulatory problems. The Association therefore decided not to require the filing of these offerings on an ongoing basis

"Due Diligence" Seminars

To reduce compliance problems with offerings which the Corporate Financing Department reviews, the As sociation in the Summer of 1980 sponsored four seminars—in Chicago, Derver, Los Angeles and New York—on "due diligence" investigations. Four hundred representatives of NASD member firms heard prominent securifies attorneys and specialists in underwriting discuss the legal and practical w, pects of these investigations, particularly in connection with direct participation programs.

Bernard Strandstrate and Strandstrate and Strandstrate Strandstrates and Strand Strandstrates and S



At due officiencie seminar in Chicago, panel members were (from telle NASD Vice President Dennis Hensley, underwriting specialists, Pater J Eichler and Peter A. Bernard, NASD President Macklin, securities, attorneys Althur Fleischer, Jr. and Linda A. Wertheimer, and 1979 NASD Chaiman J. Stephen Putnam

Three Regulatory Changes

Three changes in the NASD's rules pertaining to coporate financing were initiated:

The "operations, structure and management" of direct participation programs became subject to the Association's regulatory review with the approval of Article III. Section 34 of the Rules of Fair Practice Appendix F of that Section, the substantive regulations, is on file with the SEC and is not yet effective.

Also fied with the SEC were proposed changes to Schedule E of the Association's By-Laws, literalizing the rules regarding a member's underwriting of it own securities or those of an athiliate, and

A new draft Corporate Financing Rule, which would eventually replace the existing Board of Governors' interpretation with respect to Corporate Financiing, was approved for member comment

S. Salar

Money Market and Mutual Funds

In response to industry and public demand, the Association began, in June 1980, the collection and dissemination of yield quotations on some 90 money market funds. The yield quotes, which are accompanied by quotations of each fund's dollar-weighted average portfolio maturity, are collected and released weekly to wire services for relay to newspapers.

-- In September 1980, the membership approved revision of the Association's "Anti-Reciprocal" Rule and other amendments to the investment companyrelated regulations in Article IV, Section 26 of the Rules of Fair Practice. These amendments received SEC approval in early 1981

-- On October 28, 1980, the SEC adopted Rule 12b-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940, which permits open-end investment management companies to bear expenses associated with distribution of their shares, if such companies comply with certain conditions and procedures. The Association had supported the rule change

--- Effective November 3, 1980, the Federal Reserve Board adopted an amendment to its Regulation T, which eliminated a long-standing prohibition against the extension of credit by broker/dealers on mutual fund shares. While this action represented an appropriate removal of a competitive inequality between banks and broker/dealers, a variety of legal and practical bars to such extension of credit remain.

Municipal Securities

Throughout 1980, the NASD and its Municipal Securities Committee continued their close working relationship with the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB). The MSRB, in an important determination concluded after a hearing and extended deliberation that there should be no pricing guidelines for municipal securities, because the nature of their marketplace made a structured mark-up policy impracticable.

Variable Contracts

The principal development in the Variable Contracts area was the registration under the Federal Securities Laws of fixed annuity contracts issued by two life insurance companies. This was the first time that annuity contracts issued out of the general accounts of life insurance companies had been registered as securities. The Association is conducting a review of its By-Laws and Fegulations to discover whether such contracts can be treated, for purposes of regulation, in the same manner as variable contracts

Variable Life Insurance

Another insurance company product engaging the Association's attention was variable life insurance. This contract, with reserves invested in a separate account consisting mostly of common stocks, provides a minimum guaranteed death benefit and the possibility of growth potential both in face amount and bash value. Until 1980, only one major company marketed such contracts, but a second major insurance company introduced a variable life insurance policy in September 1980, and a third is pending registration. Since these contracts are registered under Federal Securities Laws and are sold through NASD members, the Association has regulatory responsibility for them

Government-Guaranteed Securities

A Government-Guaranteed Securities Committee was formed and began to develop Association positions on the regulation of GNMA, GHLMC and other securities. Draft Federal legislation in this area has been prepared jointly by the Federal Reserve Board, the Treasury Department and the SEC

Advertising Reviews & Rules

The Association's Advertising Department reviewed 9,700 pieces of advertising and sales literature filed by members during 1980, compared with approximately 8,800 pieces reviewed during 1979. Much of the increase in volume consisted of material concerning direct participation programs. In addition, approximately 3,700 pieces of material were reviewed in routine spot-checks, compared with 3,200 in 1979.

In December of 1980, the Association adopted a new rule regarding member advertising and sales litera ture. This rule codified various interpretations and policies into a single rule and eliminated the requirement that all members file advertising with the NASD

Fidelity Bonding

In late 1980, the Fidelity Bonding Committee began to study the possibility of the NASD's sponsoring a group-buying program to provide fidelity bends for the members. The various insurance organizations contacted have been receptive to the proposal and the Committee is engaged currently in developing the specifications for such a program.



The "Papilsky" Rules

On December 12, 1980 the Securities and Exchange Commission approved a package of rules proposed by the Association relating to the fixed price system of distributing offerings of securities. This approval was the culmination of four years of effort by the Association and a number of industry volunteers to preserve the established capital-raising mechanism The rules, which were filed with the Commission on May 31, 1978 and amended on September 4, 1980, were developed in the wake of the decision in the case of *Papilsky vs. Beindt*, and have come to be known as the "Papilsky" rules.

The rules package consists of a new definition of the term "fixed price offering" in the Rules of Fair Practice, together with an amendment to Section 8, which imposes a more explicit prohibition on members' taking securities in trade at more than their fair market price, an amendment to Section 24 which clearly reaffirms that selling concessions, discounts or other allowances, whether direct or indirect, can only be paid to members actually engaged in the investment banking or securities business and only for services rendered in a distribution, and a new Section 36, which prohibits members from the sale of securities from a "ixed price offering to related parties."

These rules will be declared effective by the Association during the first quarter of 1981, after they have been fully explained to the membership

Proposed Amendments to Net Capital Hule

and a second second

Broker/dealer net capital became the focus of a great deal of Association attention in the latter part of 1980 when the SEC proposed a number of amendments to its five year-old uniform net capital rule, Rule 15, 3-1. The proposals would amend the alternative method of computing net capital by lowering both the ratio of required net capital to certain debit items and the minimum, as well as changing the treatment of certain debit items in the Reserve Formula. The Commission also proposed increases in haircuts for certain debit securities maintained in broker/dealer accounts. The Association's Capital and Margin Committee is plic pared to respond to the SEC in early 1981.

FORM	FOCUS REPORT
X-174-5	PART
n anna a margina an an ann a' an ann a' an	the second se
 Note in the end of the second s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
0" D104-20 01 N 11	AND A REPORT OF A
TA OF MARCHINE IN ACE OF BUILDING AT 128 YO	19 () A ()
	na n
* → * 1/2	يونيون ڪند ريني موجود ڪند
O S. C. GRADE STREET STREET	
OF SUBSIDIES OF APRIL 175 COME.	Galles in the march
	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
· · · · · · · · · ·	
	Commenting the Couplings of Coupling
24600 (2000) (2000)	n Galente (ng pale Guistgalege at Column () i sa an "an u su a su an
Littletore and	
by unbound is a an interest could be and could be a resonance of the	
3- an. 	n Maria an Anna an Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna A
~	-1910 E Barrison (Micar or Managery April or
~	Wede Service Process Specia
`	THEN DEPARTS THE FLE Agents
	· · · · · ·
ه م	Bernard Constant and Constant
	Englished and the second se

SEC Proposal to Amend FOCUS

In late 1980, the Association expressed general opposition to a Commission proposal to amend the FOCUS Reporting System. In its comments, the Association cited doubts about the need and usefulness of the proposals and characterized them as ill-timed because their implementation would significanity affect the industry's computer facilities at a time when these facilities are being heavily taxed by market conditions. The Association also noted that, in light of recent proposals to amend the net capital rule and the examine a broad range of questions regardless the scope, acequacy and necessity of financial response bility rules for brokers and dealers. In would be premature and costly to the industry to proceed with efforts to finalize FOCUS, a report which is predicated on the provisions of the net capital rule

Membership and Qualifications

Membership Growth

The first pronounced membership growth in more than a decace was recorded as 296 new firms were admitted to the NASD, bringing the total membership to 2.932, a gain of 133 firms over 1979. In addition, 226 applications for membership were on file at the end of the year. Most of the new firms are involved in the distribution of direct participation programs.

The total number of Registered Representatives increased from 183,656 to 196,206.

Central Registration Depository (CRD)

The new Central Registration Depository is scheduled to become operational in the first half of 1981, and will permit a broker/dealer to file one form with one check to effect multiple registrations and licenses with the self-regulatory organizations and the states. During 1980, the Registration Department added staff, CRT terminals, microfilm machinery and computer software to prepare for CRD implementation.



On 9th Floor at NASD in Washington, staff members process registration data that will appear on CRD screens.

Qualifications

As the test administrator for the industry, the NASD administered more than 60,000 qualifications examinations for itself, other self-regulatory organizations, the SEC, the states and the commodity exchanges

Some 70% of the NASD's examinations were automated on the PLATO System of Control Data Corpo ration's nationwide Learning Centers.



The Association completed its battery of tests cover ing the full range of members' business activities, as it implemented lemited representative examinations for persons seeling (1) investment company products and (2) direct participation programs. It also worked with the options exchanges and the NYSE to upgrade the Registered Options Principal examination program and with a group of SROs to develop a new examination for general securities branch office managers.

Legislation =

Greater SIPC Protection

Higher limits of protection for securities accounts of customers of broker/dealers who are SIPC members became effective in October, through Congressional amendment of the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970. These increased limits of protection of \$500,-000, including up to \$100,000 for claims for cash, represent a major improvement in the SIPC program.

Small Business Financing

H.R. 7554

In May 1979, the NASD's Joint Industry/Government Committee on Small Business Financing produced a report which addressed many of the capital-raising problems of small business. Included among the nineteen recommendations contained in that report was one which called for an exemption for venture capital companies from the Investment Company Act of 1940 In October 1980, President Carter signed into law H.R. 7554, the Small Business Investment Incentive Act of 1980 which, among other things, allows for the creation of a new type of entity to be known as the "business development company." It is a form of venture capital company designed to provide investment capital and managerial assistance to small businesses. In virtually all respects, it provides the relief the NASD and others had long sought. The new law exempts business development companies from many of the 1940 Act regulations which restrict the artivities of investment companies; however, these new types of companies will nonetheless be subject to many of the provisions of the 1940 Act, including those designed to protect shareholders and to prevent misconduct. With the removal of many of the turmer restrictions, it is anticipated that venture capital companies will be able to attract new sources of risk capital and thus be able to make a greater contribution to the financing of small business.

Other Progress

The most promising prospect for the Small Business Financing Committee was a Senate Finance Committee proposal which contained no less than five of the Committee's recommendations, including a market maker loss reserve, more favorable tax treatment for employee stock options, an increase in subchapter S corporation shareholders, wider corporate tax rate brackets and payroll-based tax credits for employee stock option plan contributions. The proposal was ultimately tabled by the Senate in the waning days of the 96th Congress but the Association is optimistic that its recommendations will be seintroduced.

Challenges to the NASD Disciplinary Process

In Texas

Litigation ----

The United States District Court for the Northern Dr. trict of Texas restrained the Association from proceeding with a disciplinary hearing in the presence of a customer with a complaint, except for the purpose of her direct testimony. The order also restrained such proceedings from continuing while the castomer's attorney was present, except that he could be present during her direct testimony and cross-examination. The plaintiffs brought this action because they believed the customer intended to use the testimoty from the NASD proceeding in her civil proceeding against plaintiffs. Even after the customer's action was setried, plaintiffs continued to assert that the customer should be excluded from any part of the hearinclexcept for her direct testimony. The Judge's order was immediately appealed to the United States Court. of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit and the Securities and Exchange Commission filed a brief amicus cunae in support of the Association's position. On May 16, 1980, the Fifth Circuit reversed the District Court, holding that the decision of the Associate

trict Court, holding that the decision of the Association not to sequester the complainant witness at the disciplinary hearing during the discussion of the complainant's account amounted to a nontinal procedural ruling which was not subject to District Court over sight in the absence of extraordinary circumstances justifying immediate review. It ruled that the District Court should not have intervened in the administrative remedies by the process prior to exhaustion of administrative remedies by the process, that the broker could not realistically argue that the Association's procedural ruling threatened if with irreparable injury, and that there was not a clear and unambiguous constitutional or statutory violation. The decision can be found at 616 F. 2d 1363 (5th Cir. 1980).

In New York

Another case also involving a significant challenge to the Association was filed in New York Supreme Court in July 1980. Plaintiff, a broker/dealer, alleged that action taken by three staff employees was a conspiracy maliciously to interfere with plaintiff's business. While the suit was filed in state court, it was removed by the Association to Federal District Court. The Association filed a Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Dismissal and the SEC filed a brief amicus cubae in support of the Association's position. On October 1/8, 1980, the court dismissed plaintiff's complaint hold ing the plaintiff had failed to exhaust its administrative remedies with respect to each of the three events over which it complained.

While there is on-going involvement by the Other of General Coursel in other itigation, no other cases pose significant challenges to the Association



A Resolution

In Tribute to the Memory of

RAY GARRETT, JR.

Adopted by the Board of Guarmors of the National Astronistics of Securities Dealers, Inc. on March 14 1980

Micross, a long, dedicated and brilliant correr as an velocator, government oficial and private practitioner in the corporate and soccastics has of the logal profession came to a sudden close with the dash on Fein vary 3-1940 of Ray Corrett, ir., a former member of the Association's Board of Corress, former Charman of the Securities and Exchange Commission and former senses postaer of Gordner, Carton of Douglas, and

Whetens, he served with distinction at Governor of the National Association of Securities Desiers, Inc. from January 1976 to January 1979, and

tenterets, be gave numperingly bus time, through and energy to the Amoraism, the perform and objections of which he vigoronaly and ably advanced, and thereby earned the gratistude of his colleagues on the Board, and

UnitEXES, be imported to the deliberations of the Board of Governors an om-partial and objective voice, convincing in its breadth of experience, diverse in its representation of the inservest of investors, inserve and broker/dealers, and deliberate in its ability to focus our stiention upon all appents of the music com-plex of issues before this Board, and

WALTERS, bis warms personality, conservability, deep concern for and desire to there knowledge with others was him the friendikip and administration of all this collingues on the Board, and

Whereas, ble continuing service as connect has been of critical insportance to the Association,

Row, Therefore, Be & Recoverd, that the Members of the Board of Gou-ernors express their deep sorrow at the passing of their valued friend and col-league, and

Be It Further Resolved, that the Members of the Board of Generatives on cereiv acknowledge bus outstanding constraintion to the securities inductry, and the public interest, and

Be It Further Resolved, that a copy of this resolution be presented to V ... ginue, his wale, and to the pertners of his firm, Gardner, Carton & Douglas

Francis de la stante of the section

ASD Officers nd oard of overnors 1980

The Board of Governors is the introlling body of the NASD id determines policy on a nainal scale. The Board consists 21 representatives of the seirities industry elected from the ssociation's Districts, seven overnors-at-Large and the resident of the NASD. The pard meets six times a year

Serve Until January 1981



President and member of the Board



James F. Keegan Morgan, Keegari & Company, Inc Memphis, Tennessae Chairman, 1980



John D. Ber Sutro & Co. Incorporateix Los Angele i Catifornia Vice Chairman, 1980



Douglas H. Curits Franklin Electric Co. Inc. Bluffton, Indiana Vice Chairman Finance, 1980



James J. McCormack * Metropolitan Life Insurance Company New York, New York Vice Chairmen-Finance, 1981



Benjamin L. Lubin Bruna, Nordeman, Rea & Co New York, New York



៣០០៧ គេសាសេរ New York New York



Dances Bearcare Drexel Businiam Lambos





William E. Madder L.C. Petersen Kirkpatrick, Pettis, Smith, Schneid H. Bernet Polian Inc. Omaries, Nebrasika Chaiman, 1981



& Hickman, Inc.

Francess El. Dytests Robert C. Carr & Co., Inc Manchester, New Hampshille





A. James Jackity Asiel & Company New York, New York









Bruce A. Marin Pilisbury, Madison & Sul-San Francisco - California

to Serve Until January 1982

To Serve Until January 1983



Persent H. Attimeon. Jr. Atkinson and Company Pontend, Oregon

Ray J. Groves







J. W. Hill

Randaire Corporacion

Clebums, Texas

Emst & Whinney Cleveland, Ohin



January 1984

Shannon Michael Drew

Kiniser Peabody

a Co Incorporatesi

Sen Franciaco, Galiltomia

To Serve Until



John C. Phinton Printon, Kane & Co Summit, New Jersay



Continental American Securities, inc Phoenix: Auzona



فصحفا التالكا

, e

. ...

William Z. Suplee, III Eikins & Co Philacelphia, Pennsylvania



David W. Wiley, Jr. Wiley Bros., Inc. Nashville, Tennessee



Andrew M. Bium L. F. Rothschild Unterberg, Towbin New York, New York



W. Lincoln Mossop, Jr. Barrett & Company Providence, Rhode Island



Branch, Cabell & Company Richmond, Virginia



W Blamson S. Stuckey, Jr. Former Congressman Wesnington, D.C.



James F. Wade Julien Collins & Company Chic ago Illinois



James W. Ratziaff Capital Research and Management Company Los Angeles. California



Ernest P. Rice, Jr. Blunt Ellis & Loevri Incorporated Milwaukee, Wisconsin



Donuid E. Weston Gradison & Company Incorporated Cincirinati, Ohio



Vennon B. Wittle Dam Bosworth incorporated as Vegas, Nevada



Conrait S. Young" United of Omana Omaha, Nebilaska



Glenn R. Oxner Interstate Securifiek Corporation Greenville, South Carolina



H. Lawrence Parker Morgan Staniev & co Incorporated New York, New York



El Mills Sinctair Young, Smith & Peacook, Inc Phoenix, Arizona



M**atter N, Trukock, III** Dea: Witter Reynolds Ini ine Elluff, Arkansas



Norman F Wikte Br Janney Montgomery John S Philadelphia, Peninsylvania

......

National Committees 1980

The National Committees of the NASD are appointed by the Board of Governors and make recommendations to the Board in their various areas of responsibility. The Executive, Finance and Business Conduct Committees meet immediately prior to each Board meeting; all other Committees meet as necessary

Executive

James F. Keegan* Chairman John D. Ben* Peter A. Bernard* Douglas H. Curtis* William B. Madden* L. C. Petersen* David W. Wiley, Jr.* Gordon S. Macklin*

Finance

Douglas H. Curris" Chairman William R. Harr brecht James F. Keegare John C. Printon" William W. Strawiner, Jr.* William W. Strawiner, Jr.* William Z. Suplae, Itt* Gordon S. Macklin" Jack A. Schind at (Ex Officio)

Business Conduct

L. C. Petersen* Chairman W. Lincoln Mostop, Jr. Robert H. Atkinson, Jr.' Frances B. Dylesk-* Borijanin L. Lubin* David Marcus* Jasties W. Ratzlaff* Emest F. Rice, Jr.' Dwiald E. Weston Verson B. Willis* Conrad S. Young*

Arbitration

Alfred J. Rauschman Chairman Edward S. Arnold* Eugene Arnold; Jr Eugene W. Bell John D. Bert* Donald R. Bonniwe-I, Jr Gerald B. Brenzel J. Gordon Cooney Lloyd J. Derrickson Constantine N. Katsoris Benjamin L. Lubin* William B. Madden* A. A. Sommer Arnold J. Wernberg

Capital & Margin William B. Madden* Chairmar-David B. Coates Frances B. Dyleski* Vincent P. Fay Ralph L. Gosselin Albert Kopin Joseph F. Neil Eli Weinberg

Caeimodities Mi⊪iam 3. Madden*

وفنتكنو بالخذة الالتلة تل

Chairman Pichard B, Anspacher Net Astin John J, Conheeney George D, F, Lamborn Dorid Marcus* Robeit L, Raclin Kenneth M, Sanders Wiltam Z, Suplee III* Thiodore Thome James F, Wade*

CANTIONALS ACTVINENTY

J. M. Hill^e Chairman Runsell Haumgardner David H. Cowart John P. Dubinsky Robert O. Hedberg Lawrence A. Leser Shuby H. Page Donald A. Pels Geurge G. Raymond, Jir Thomas C. Sullivan Lawrence R. Thielen

Corporate Financing

Peller A. Bernardt Chairman An liew M. Blum" Antiur Fleischer, dr. William R. Hambrecht William Harman Freu L. Heyes John T. NicGuire N. Clark Moran Erniest F. Rice, Jr. J. Ferry Reddick William J. Suplee, III* William F. White

Direct Participation Programs

Mason T. New Chairman Jaye F. Dyer Robert L. Franklin Richard G. Garton Gerald Norwitz Anthony A. LaCrox James H. Levi William B. Madden* Thomas J. McAllister J. Stephen Putnam Tern. Sheldon Fobout A. Shive Lind J. A. Wertherner Consid F. Weston*

Fidelity Bonding Nelson S. Burbank Chairman Robert H. Atkinson, Jr.+ Ralpi, L. Gosselin Join C. Harden Frankris X. LeMunyon Michan Z. Suplee, 97 James F. Wade*

Government-Guarantese

Socurities Robert F. Datt Chairman F. Pepper Allen Alan C. Anold Frederick Moss Edwin F. Pavne Bichard E. Lisodin Biobert F. Schitter

Information

Raymonid u kiernan Chairman Peter A. Bernard George Casey Douglas H. Cantis Manyin Demanyak Mantha Famila J. M. Hali V. Lincoln Mossop J. Vernon B. Willis"

Insurance Trustees and

Insurance James F. McClormack: Chairman Nelson S. Bistiani, Finest E. Gittespie Jultan F. Gittespie Contact S. Youndr

Internetional

Peter A. Bernard: Chairman Allae H. Bedi: Andrew M. Biumi Albert J. Coney William S. Gibmeath Walter Schnattel David Stein Barthold Von Kibbentrop

Investment Compunies James W. Ratztań' Chairman John Bamarc, Jr George S. Bisself H. Day Brigham, Jr J. Paul Enchson John W. Galbrath John W. Galbrath John W. Galbrath John E. McTawsh George W. Meyer Richard F. Pamar Diarcher W. Neger

Joint Industry Governmette Committee on Small Business Financing Will am R. Hamproche Chairman J. Coleman Busio Ardisony A. LaConz J. Stepher: Painsan

Williamson S. Sturkey, h

Ennesi F. Hice, Jr.

Long Range Planning Eugene Arnold, Jr Chairman Robert R. Googins James F. Keegan* David W. Mesker J. Stephen Putnam A. A. Sommer Robert W. Swinarton John A. Wing Gordon G. Macklin*

Municipal Securities

Pobert A. Schlichting Chairman Robert H. Atkinson, Jr -Donald R. Bonniwell, Jr Charles S. Gartand, Jr Gedale B. Horowitz J. Kevin Kenny William Kondratuk William S. Magnus Eugene 8. Shept erd Thomas F. Yardley

NASDAO

L. C. Petersen* Chairman James F. Jamieson Gilbert M. Lothrop John J. Morton W. Lincoln Mossop, Jr.* Frederic Hiftereiser Thomas C. Suilivan Thomas W. Sullivan Howard Tepper John D. Watler Kenneth J. Wessels Donald E. Weston* Stephen S. Wien David W. Miley, Jr.*

National Market System Design J Stephen Putnarr Chairman Flichard L Bove Peter A Byrne Raymond J Kalinowski Bernard L Madoff Raymond Meselsohn Mason T, New* Robert W Parker L C Petersen* Harold A Pich Lawrence J Welte

National Market System Securities Qualifications Douglas H - urbst Chairman Pobert D Heidberg Raymond J Kiernan L C Petersent Welliamson S Stuckey, Jr t Thomas C Sullivan Donald F Westont

Kinneth J. Wessels

National Market System Trading Mason T. New* Chairman George R. Fairweather Murray L. Finebaum James C. French Bemard L. Madoff John J. McCarthy Fiedenc Rittereiser Jacques S. Theriot David W. Wiley, Jr.* Jerry Williams

Options James Quarto Chairman Edward S. Arnold* Mike Epstein Elerijamin L. Lubin* David Marcus* Jeremiah A. Multins Fichard Purkiss Theodore M. Perkowski Frederic Rittereiser William W. Strawther, Jr.*

Qualifications

James J. McCormack* Chairman John Li. Herl* D. Laird Blue Gerald B. Brenzel Samuel M. Chase, Jr. Glen Givens David Marcus* Leonard Mayer George W. Meyer W. Lincoln Mossop, Jr * Alan Pessiri Walyne G. Skaggs William Z. Suplee, III*

Real Estate

Robert L. Franklin Chairman Samuei M. Chase, Jr. Richard E. Landau John R. Larson William B. Madden* James J. Matison Steven Miller Mason T. New* Howard C. Pizer Peter Quintan Burton E. Smith Walter A. Tumer, Jr.

Registration

 N. Cuark Moran Chairman
 Peter A. Bernardt
 Andrew M. Blumt
 John J. D. Arcy
 Edward E. Hill
 Philip J. Poplin
 Marcia Rung
 Armold Schron
 W. Stewart Storie
 David H. Wunder

Retirement Plan Review John A. Wing Chairman Errest E. Cracg James J. McCorrrack* John C. Printon* James W. Ratzlan*

ويراجعهم بالنقا الركة الكيكيكة ال

Uniform Practice

ا نک ک

Ralph: Gostielin Chaim an Henry C. Alexander Lyle W. Davis Robert C. Clisbert Francas B. Dyreski Benjamin L. Lubin Robert A. Mackie, Jr Maurice J. McCiani John C. Printon* Helen Shenliman James F. Wadg*

Variable Contracts

James J. McCorma-tk* Chairmain Bruce Avedon Robert R. Bairo Harold Engelmian David D. Horn Donal A. Kinney James W. Raztaff* Milton F. Svelanics, Jr Conrad S. Young

AD HOC COMMETTEES Section 24

Alfred J. Rauschman Chairmar J. Logan Burke ... Vico Chairman John Barnard Jr. J. Coleman Budd John Daly Philip D. Davidson Lloyd J. Derrickson Donald M. Feuerstein William Fitzpatrick William Ft. Hambrech William Harman David H. Klann John E. Kohl Robert E. Linton David W. Mesker W. Allen Northcutt, III Michael S. O'Brien Lewis M. Weston Samuel H: Wolcott, III

Flule and By-Law

Amendmen:s John A. Wing Chairman Gerald B. Brenitel Robert R. Googins J. Stepher: Putnam John R. Winsor

"NASD Boast of Governors Member

District Committees 1980



District 2

Lynn P. Beitnouer Co-Chairman Crowell, Weedon & Co. Los Angeles, California William R. Timken Co-Chairman Hambrecht & Quist San Francisco, California



The District Committees are elected by NASDAQ member firms in their respective areas. They supervise NASD programs in the Districts and serve as Busi ness Conduct Committees. which review the reports of NASD examiners, investigate complaints against members conduct disciplinary proceed ings and impose penalties for violations of Federal and state laws and of the NASD's Rules of Fair Practice. The Chairmen of the District Committees are the Advisory Council to the Board of Governors



ALASKA IDAHO MONTANA OREGON WASHINGTON

District 1

Gilbert C. Powers Chaiman

Incorporated

Seattle, Washington

Vice Chairman Jurie S. Jones Co Portland, Orgeon

Robert D. Kreue Smith Barney, Harris Upstein & Co. HY SYLWARD Postenci, Oregon

Stoned T. McLaser Reattle-Northwest Securite Corporation

Santin, Wanthington James P. Mendennal Sachs Halsey Shuart Shinking

Incorporated Seettle, Washington Flog A. Moone

Adems, Hess, Moore & Co. Portlario, Oregon

William G. Paperh Murphy Favre, Inc Spokaria, Washington

Villian J. Hes Foster & Marshall Iric Spotaem, Waahington

Kennish M. Snicer MAS Financial Services, Inc. Sinntika, Weahingto :

Einselikard M. Patternam Director 1111 BM Building Seattle, Washington 98101 CALIFORNIA HAWAII NEVADA

ъ

b

Richard J. Becker Baterrian Eichler, Hill Richards, incorporate-1 Los Angeles Dalifornia

TRAMAR A. BRIDING E.F. Hutton & Company, Inc. Sai, Francisco, California

Ladared S. Brigani Segni Financial Cosporation Le Jolle, California

Betty R. Carles Edelstein Campbell & Co., In San Francisco, California

John J. Doughty Daniel fleeves & Col Incomposer so Los Arigeles California

Donald B. Duny Waldron & Coll free San Rafael, California

Murray L. Finebeur-Cantor Fitzgerald & Colline Beverily Hills. California

Amolo L. Hoffman Drokei Burnham Lamberi inclosponates : Sen Frainisco Calitornia

Theories G. & Juny Deche Halsey must Strett lucorporateo Honolou, Hawai

Richard & Kellogg Paine Webber Jackson & Curie Incorporated Los Angeles California

James D. Klein Pame, Webber, Jackson & Jane inconporated

San Francisco - California Thomas E. Mulker

San Diego Securites Inconstruction San Diego, California

James I., Owens Jeffense & Company, Inc. Los Angeles California

LANNING H. REAL Morgan Olmstead, realized & Gardner, Incurporated Los Angeles is alifornia.

Ernesi H. Ruehi Henry F. Swith X Co San Francisco - Jalifornia

Cheodore P. Skitzmett Director 425 California treet Room 3400 San Francisco - Californio 94-04

William J. Radding Jr Director 606 South Olive Street Los Angeles California 90014

359 MEMBERS

1,252 BRANCHES

26,586 REGISTERED

REPRESENTATIVES

75 MEMBERS 369 BRANCHES 5.906 REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVES





ARIZONA COLORAEXO NEW MEXICC UTAH WYOMING

District 3

Chairman

Michael T. Hamilton

Hyder and Company

Albuquerque. New Merico

Edward H Sundermann in Vice Chairman Hanifen, Imholf & Samford Inc Denver, Colorado

Richard Hutnagel Boettcher & Company Denver, Colorado

Jamos D. Payne Olsen & Company Sait Lake City, Utah James Peck

Dain, Bosworth Incorporatisci Casper, Wyoming

Edwin J. Pittock E J Pittock & Co , Incorporated Denver, Colorado

Robert W. Plenge Plenge Thomas & Noter incorporated Phoenix, Arizona

George J. Potter Potter Investment Co. Salt Lake City, Utah

Burton A. Struthers E.F. Hutton & Company In: Tucson, Arizona

Denene V. Swenson Manaquity, Inc Englewood, Colorado

Frank J. Birgfeid Director 909 i 7th Street Hoom 508 Deriver, Colorado 80202 District 4

N

ء کر

KANSAS MISSOURI NEBRASKA

OK! AHOMA

d

John E. Hayes Chairman McCourtney-Breckeniidge & Company St. Louis. Missouri

an 385



District 5 John O. Roy, Jr Chairman E.F. Hutton & Company, Inc. New Orleans, Louisiana





ALABAMA ARKANSAS LOUISIANA MISSISSIPPI WES TERN

Bradley W. Elembe Shearson Losb Rheades in Kansas City, Missouri

Fred M. Cotsworth Smith, Moore & Co Si Louis, Miracuri

Owen L. Sackber, Jr.

Vice Chairman

Omaha, Nebraska

Polian Inc

Kirkpatrick, Pertis, Smith

J. Paul Erickson Mutual of Omaha Fund Management Company Omaha, Neo aska

A. Wayne Herrison Independent Investors Services, and Overland Park, Kansas

John H. Henring George K. Baurri & Dompania Kansas City, Missouri

Woodrow R. HitCos.cey Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, incorporated St Louis, Missouri

F. Scott Peridina Perkins and Company Inc. Garden City, Kansai

James M. Caragano Director 911 Main Streat, Suite 2230 Commerce Tower Building Kansas City, Missou i 64105 TEINNESSEE

Vice Chairman Steme, Agee and Leach Inc. Birmir gham Alaparea David B. Coates

T. J. Paney & Sons Inc. Little Rock, Arkansas

John B. Dickey John B. Dickey & Co. Paragouid, Arkansas

Joeeph D. Jolly, Jr Joe Joily & Co., Inc. Birmingham, Alabama

John B. Levert, Jr. Howard: Weil, Labouisse, Friedrichs Incorporated New Orleans, coursiana

W. Thed McLaurin Doty, McLaurin & Taylor, Inc. Jackson, Mississippe

Joseph C. Weller Morgan, Keegan & Company, In-Memphas, Termessue

A. Duncan Williams A Duncari Williams Inc. Memphis Tencesses

Edward J. Newton Director 1004 Richards Building New Orleans: Louisiana 70:022

118 MEMBERS 464 BRANCHE 7.418 REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVES

123 MEMBERS 447 BRANCHES 9,810 REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVES

94 MEMBERS 311 BRANCHES 5.514 REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVES



TE + AS

Frederick L. Baker Vice Chairman Fred Baker & Associates cor San Antonio Texas

District L. Bornwell John Nuveen & Co. Innoversited Aussian Taxas

e.

G. Thomas Mary, Jr Mity, Guikuni, Ragland & Bruitan, Inc.

Daiws, fexas Some P Minches Epipilar, Guann & Tumer, Inc.

Dallas Texas Rotwit J. Moossy Menill Lynch, Pierce, Fighter & Smith Incorporated Lubback Taxas

James E. Trice Bache Halsey Stuart Shields Incorporated Savi Antonici, Taxas

Charles E. Zhring Paine Webber, Jackson & Curtis Incorporated For Henth Texas

Providence into Wandhase Director 1610 Memopolitan Federal Savings Building 1407 Main Street Unitas Texas 75202

FLORIDA GEORGIA SOUTH CAROLINA EASTERN TENNESSEE CANAL ZONE CANAL ZONE PUERTO RICO VINGEI ISLARDS

Drexel Bumbarr Lambert ric oipurataci Atlanta (avigia Dentit J. Historier

Fischer, Juhnaum, Allen & Burke 1 HOGER MICH St Perena king I to ida

Januara H. Gari Panua Weidten, Jankson & Curtis Incorporated Ft Lauder tale Fice da

L. Massey Clarkson, Jr.

Vice Chairman

G. C. MCCINI, Jr. J. C. Bradlord & C.i Nas willa, "erstession

Alleri S. Pateira First Equity Corporation of Florida Mian) Florida

Park B. Similar Frost Johnson Read & Smith, Inc. Charactori, South C molina

Robert L. Wistrans Provident National Associance Company Chananoogi, Tarina isaa

John L. Weisser, al The Robinson Humphrey Company Inc Atlanta, Georgia

Bennett Whipple Director 1100 Allanta Center 250 Piedmorii Avenue: N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 303(is

Ning FL fraum Mark Chamban Mark Ana Company Inc Indexepois index -John M. Bohranda

^{میں س}

ILLINOIS

INDIANA

INDIANA IOWA MICHIGAN MINNESCITA

NORTH DAKOTA SOUTH DAKOTA WIGCONSIN

The Lecule Nation static testing Company Foo Wayne Eucligio

Robert W. Leontage Robert W. Band A. In Inconstances -Miheaukee, Wescone -

Joim E. McTanasti John Nuveen & Co. John parat-Chicago Ultrior

Joseph M. Mengoler First of Michigan Carborate Deroit Michigan

Painck A. Nkionan Disor-Breisnige Noce and Sponobeld Illinois

Richard A. Percau Per aut and Company filose Obs. Innes

TRADUCE HE PERSON Altoria: Podeste Worlded 6 Сотрану Сль адо пере

Thancher W. Roof Manley Bennett McD mald & , Detroit Michigar

Eugene K. Hudnicke B. C. Ziegler and Company West Bend, Wisconsid

John R. Stephens Equily Securities trading Co. Inc. Minimapolis, Minimasore

John L. Walton Dam, Boswceitr Incorporation Minneapolis Minnesee

William F. White Bacon, Khiupta & Co. Chicaego ubenes

Witchard J. Voyedi Channer Newnati becor bes Company Chicaco, gla da

E. Craig Dearborn

377 MEMBERS

1.207 BRANCHES

REPRESENTATIVES

Dire tor 10.5 Laballe Singer Houte ricke 33 766 REGISTERED Chicage Illines books

140 MEMBERS 440 BRANCHES 9 225 REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVES

196 MEMBERS 808 BRANCHES 14.151 REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVES





KENTUCKY OHIO

District 9

Prentice E. Brown

Louisville. Kentucky

Chairman

inc

H Keith Allen The Ohio Company Columbus, Ohio

W. Pat Conners Conners & Company, Inc. Cincinnate, Ohio

Peter B. Halliday Vercee & Company, Inc. Columbus, Ohio

Joneph M. Hickey Prescott, Ball & Turben Cleveland, Ohio

James K. Luntz Memil Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated Caritori, Ohio

James C. Stone, Ili J.J.B. Hilliard W.L. Lyons, Inc. Lou sville, Kentucky

William B. Summers, Jr McDonald & Company Cleveland Ohio

Strichen J. Wolfe S J Wolfs & Co **Dayton**, Ohio

George W. Mann, Jr. Director 100 Eneview Plaza

District 10 Edmund J. Cushman, Jr. Chairman Legg Mason Wood Walker Incorporated Baltimore, Maryland

*9

D



District 11



Rudolph C. Sander Chairman Janney Montgomery Scott, Inc. Philadelphia. Pennsylvania



DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA MARYLAND NORTH CAROLINA VIRGINIA Edwin B. Horser, Jr Vice Chairman Homer, Barksdale & Co. Lynchburg, Virginia

> Ruth G. Adler A. G. Edwards & Sons, Inc. Chevy Chase, Maryland

William C. Boinest Craigie Incordorated Richmond, Virginia

Julian E. Gillergue Johnston, Lerroin & Co ncorporated Washington, D O

George W. Graves, un NEL Equity Selvices: Corporation Washington, D.C.

Thomas C. Locney Wheat, First Securities, Incorporated Charlotte, North Carolina

G Lewis Nichols Carolina Securitias Corporation Releigh, North Caroling

Julia M. Walsh Julia M. Walsh & Sone incorporated Washington, D.C.

Thomas P. Forde Director 1735 K Street, N.W. 6th Floor Washington, D.C. 20006 DELAWARE NEW JERSEY* PENNSYLVANIA WEST VIRGINIA

With exception of counties adjacen to New York City

Parker/Huriter Ind Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania Charles J. Anderson Delaware Management

Richard J. Beisel Vice Chairman

Company, Inc Philadelphia, Pennsylvania John L. Dolohin

Dolphin & Bradbury Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Thomas A. Gray Butcher & Singer Inc. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Richard A. Purkiss Dean Witter Reynolds Inr Rydale, Pennsylvaria

Samuel R. Robb, Jr. Shearson Loeb Rhoades Inc. Pittsburgh: Pennsylvania

Sémuel R. Roberts W. R. Newbold's Sens & Co. His Philadelphia Penns-Ivania

Evan R. Rosser, Jr Evan R. Rosser & Company Williamsport, Pennsylvania

Harold F. Scattergocki. Jr. Boenning & Scattergood inc. Philadelphia, Perinsylvaria

George S. Weaver, Jr Hazlett Buri & Watson Inr Wheeling, West Virginia

Robert S. Woodcock Hopper Soliday & Co., Iric. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Robert 8 Gilmore Director 1818 Market Street 12th FROM Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19100

20 MEMBERS 314 BRANCHES 8,038 REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVES

103 MEMBERS 411 BRANCHES 12 459 REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVES

194 MEMBERS 523 BRANCHES 16,169 REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVES:



0 $\cdot_{\mathcal{S}}$ 995.

NEW YORK CITY.

Trictudes aritacieni NY and NJ - ourilies

A James Jacoby Vice Chairman Asiel & Co New York New York

District 12

George W. Benoit Chairman

New York, New York

finerers J. Bott, Jr. Daniate & Balt, Inc. NOW YONE IN THE YOUR

Respond R. Holes Elation-Blober & Co. Inc New York, New York

John F. Brywn Museley, Haligarten Estabrook & Waoden Inc New York New York

Peter D. Byrnw Usen Witter Reynolds Inc New York, New York

Saul S. Cohen Lehman Brothers Kulin Loeb Incorporated niew York, New York

NAKON ON BARY Marquette de Bary Co Incurrovataci Menor Yearts INERSI TOHIS

William H. Fickel Metropolitan Life Insurance Company New York, New York

-

.

John A. La Griss Scandinavian Securities Corporation Nor: York, New: York

Paran K. Lorab Shearson Lost, Phoacles Inc. New York, New York

Leonard Mayer Mayer & Schweitzer, Inc Jersey City. New Jersey

Sarn Scott Miller Pane, Webber, Jackson & Curtis Incorporated New York Nev: York

Thomas L. Piper, III New Court Securities Corporation New York New York

Access P. Atterese Maxili Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Senith loc.oncorrented New York, New York

John B. Shavenaun Gladeschaus & Co. New York, New York

Thomas Vel Sulliven Smith Barney, Harris Upham & Co. Incorporatec New York, New York

Michael L. Tamodol Bear, Stearns & Co. New York, New York

George J. Bergen Director Two World Trade Center, South Tower, 98th Floor New York, New York 10048

CONNECTICUT MAINE NEW HAMESHIRE NEW YORK' RHODE ISLAND

VERMONT

District 13

Eugene F. Miller

E. F. Miller Municipals Inc

Sec.

Rochester, New York

Chairman

51

"With the exception of New York City and adjacent counties

Tarrant Cutler Vice Chairmun Massachusetts Financial Service Company Boston Massachusens

Stephen W. Adams CG Equity Salas Company Hartford, Contractik ui

Iswai Chasa Moseley, Hallgartes & Estabrook and Springfield, Massachusen

William Claffin, Jr. Marill Lynch, Piarce, Pennis, 6 Smith Incorporated Bosion Massachuselis

Robert ... Clari. Alex Brown & hums Boston, Massachuserr

John S. Estwake: Jr The Travelers Equiper Gales, Inc. Hartford, Connecticut

Daway E. Hell Bache Halsey Stuar I shield-Incorporated Bullako, New York

Antheny A. Lacinea Advest, Inc. Haritord, Communication

Paul B. Means Means investment Compary Barigor Maine

Howard R. Mergman, In Miller & George Providence. Hhide Island

Carl P. Shen Carl P. Sherr & Co Worcester, Massachusetts

Robert E. Witt E.F. Hudon & Company, Inc.

Boston Massachuserts Wittiam S. Clendenin

Director 75 Federal Sheei Boston, Massachuseus de 10

ALLS MEMBERS #70 BRANCHES 34 DER REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVES



National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. and NASDAQ, Inc.

BALANCE SHEETS

	September 30,					
		1980		1979		
	NASD	NASDAO	Consolidated	NASD	NASDAQ	Consolidated
Assets						
Current assets	•	• · · • • • • • •				
Cash Marketable debt securities, principally U.S. Government, at cost (approximate market value for 1980: NASD \$13,132,867, NASDAQ \$11,769,767; for 1979: NASD	\$ 203,095	5 \$ 178,701	1 \$ 381,796	\$ 231,890	• \$ 143,415	\$ 375,395
\$12,634,000, NASDAQ \$12,796,000) Interest and other current receivables	13,927,150 		, ,	13,062,073 934,940		
Total current assets	15,525,541			14,228,903		······
Investments in NASDACI, Inc., at equity in net assets (Notes 1 and 2)	· ·		. 70,020,041			28,439,9 42
National Securities Clearing Corporation, at	12,991,169			10,946,705		
cost (Note 2) Depository Trust Company, at cost (Note 4) Special Investment account, at lower of cost or	300,000 191,260		300,000 191,260	300,000 1 9 9,583		300,0 00 199,583
market (Note 2) Data processing, subscriber equipment and	262,645		262,645	267,916		267,913
software, at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$8,482,684 (NASDAQ) in 1980, and \$7,994,000 in 1979						
(Note 2) Land, buildings and improvements, at cost less accumutated depreciation of \$1598,443 (NASD) and \$86,724 (NASDAQ) in 1980, and	455,556	. •			803,429	803,420
\$615,000 in 1979 (Notes 2 and 5) Furniture, equipment and leasehold Improvements, at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$28,213 (NASD) and \$22,214 (NASDAO) in 1980, and \$3,000 (NASD) and \$85,000 (NASDAQ) in	2, 87 5,212	1,157,221	4,042,433	2,951,361		2,951,360
1979 (Note 2) Other assets	532,877 298,695	203,065	735,942 298,695	62,129 255,016	133,120 12,620	195,243 267,635
	\$33,432,955	\$16,017,454	\$35,345,658	\$29,211,613	\$15,323,911	\$33,425,115
Liabilities and Association Equity Current liabilities						
Accounts payable and accrued expenses Prepaid NASDAQ issuers fees Loans payable, 5%6% Current portion of mortgage payable Current portion of note payable (Note 5)	\$ 1,622,971 2,122,882 320,250 124,163	\$ 1,026,285	\$ 2,535,674 2,122,882 320,250 124,163 2,000,000	\$ 1,658.016 1,767,348 320,250 117,533		\$ 1.871,513 1.767,348 320,250 117,530 2.5051 (600
Total current liabilities	4,190,266	3,026,285	7,102,969	3,863,147	2,000,000	2,000,000 6,076,650
Long-term liabilities	.,	wy we . wy an e . w	·, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0,000,147	6,011,200	$\alpha'\alpha_{\alpha'}\alpha_{\alpha'}\alpha_{\alpha'}$
Aucrued deferred compensation Mortgage payable (Note 5) Note payable (Note 5)	262,645 582,070		262,645 582,070	267.916 706,233	2,000,000	267,915 706,23.5 2,000,005
Fotal Labilities	5,034,981	3,026,285	,947,684	4.837 296	4,377,206	5.050,79
Association equity Commitments and contingencies (Notes 5 and 6)	28.397,974	12,991,169	23,397,974	24,374 317	10,946,705	24,374,31
	\$33,432,955	<u>\$16,017,454</u>	\$30, 345,658	\$2 9,211,613	\$15 ,323,911	\$33,425,111

National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. and NASDAO, Inc.

,,

Income Income Income Member assessments and branch office fees \$ 9,203,639 \$ 9,203,639 \$ 7,872,371 \$ 7,877 MASUAQ user services fees \$ 12,094,890 \$ 12,094,890 \$ 515,274,616 17,277 Applications 2,730,596 2,707,265 1,361,601 1,371,878 47 Corporate finance fees 2,730,596 2,730,596 1,327,878 47 Corporate finance fees 2,139,080 2,132,080 1,127,073 1,127 Fines 453,755 405,052 400 1,167,073 1,125,438 3,177,58 47 MASUAU users ines 1,133,481 4,133,441 3,127,58 47 499,970 782 Salares and employee henefits 1,4746,524 2,483,629 17,230,153 11,938,241 1,348,970 18,281 System operator's fees 1,361,1372 4,098,733 6.09 17,240,735 15,597 4.0 Publication subels of \$10,745 in 1980 and 1,246,666 443,719 2,196,325 1,323,264 15,5,97 4.0		Year Ended September 30,					
Income S 9.203,639 \$ 9.203,639 \$ 7.872,371 \$ 7.872,371 MASDAQ user services frees S12.094,890 12,094,890 \$12,094,890 \$15,274,616 17.277 Applications 2,707,265 2,107,265 2,107,265 1,361,601 -,36 Examinations 2,730,596 2,139,080 2,137,878 -,477 Corporate finance tes 2,139,080 2,132,080 1,212,073 1,127 Fines 453,755 465,375 405,052 400 Interist and other 1,645,337 1,422,646 2,964,363 1,300,012 1,015,433 2,377 NASELAQ users hes 4,133,481 4,133,441 3,127,558 45,775,990 785 Pagetator 2,3507,996 13,517,556 36,911,902 17,470,735 1,5290,053 3,745 Statenes and employee benefits 1,4746,524 2,483,629 17,230,153 11,938,241 1,348,970 18,249 Statenes and employee benefits 1,746,666 449,719 2,196,325		1980					
Member assessments and branch office fees \$ 9,203,639 \$ 9,203,639 \$ 9,203,639 \$ 7,872,371 \$ 7,872,371 NASDAD user services fees \$ 12,094,890 12,094,890 12,094,890 \$ 15,274,616 16,227 Registered representative fees 2,107,265 2,107,265 1,361,601 ,36 Examinations 2,107,265 2,107,265 1,361,601 ,36 Examinations 2,107,265 4,05,052 400 Internst and other 1,645,337 1,422,646 2,954,363 1,360,012 1,015,435 2,37 NASUAD issuers fies 4,133,481 4,133,481 4,133,481 4,133,483 ,799,199 ,799,199 ,799,199 ,795,199 ,795 10,290,951 38,764 Expension 14,746,524 2,483,629 17,230,153 11,938,241 1,348,970 13,28 Salares and employee henefits 3,611,572 3,611,572 4,098,638 6,090,638 4,099 System operator's fees 1,746,666 449,719 2,196,325 1,323,264 155,597 4,29 <t< th=""><th></th><th>MASD</th><th>MASCAO</th><th>Consolidated</th><th>NASD</th><th>NASDAU</th><th>Consultitated</th></t<>		MASD	MASCAO	Consolidated	NASD	NASDAU	Consultitated
NASDAQ user services fees \$12,094,890 12,094,890 \$15,274,616 15,277 Registered representative fees 2,107,265 2,107,265 2,107,265 1,361,601 4,36 Corporate linance fees 2,139,080 2,139,080 1,127,073 1,127 Fines 453,755 453,755 405,052 40 Interest and other 1,665,337 1,422,646 2,954,363 1,360,012 1,015,435 2,37 MASINAL issuers fies 4,133,481 4,133,481 3,177,558 3,17 1,220,015 3,745 Masination, supples and employee henefits 1,4746,524 2,483,629 17,230,153 11,938,241 1,348,970 13,248 System operator's fees 3,611,572 3,611,572 4,098,638 6,095 4,098 Publication, supplies and publication subplies and publication 1,746,566 449,719 2,196,325 1,323,264 155,597 4,09 Publication subplies and publication subplies and publ	lacome						
Registered representative fees 2,107,265 2,107,265 2,107,265 1,361,601 1,36, 1,371,878 Applications 2,730,596 2,730,596 1,371,878 1,371, 172, 173 1,172, 173 Corporate finance fees 2,139,080 2,139,080 2,139,080 1,27,173 1,172, 173 Fines 453,755 463,755 405,052 409 Internst and other 1,645,337 1,422,646 2,545,663 1,360,012 1,015,435 2,377 NASDAC (Note 3) 1,094,833 1,094,833 1795,190 785 23,507,396 13,517,536 36,911,902 17,470,735 16,290,053 33,764 Satares and employee benefits 14,746,524 2,483,629 17,230,153 11,938,241 1,348,970 15,245 System operator's fees 3,611,572 3,611,572 4,098,638 2,042 Publication sates of \$30,745 in 1980 and 1,230,512 261,852 1,495,364 988,810 107,501 6,98 St8,000 in 1979) 1,230,512 261,852 1,495,364 986,100 107,5	Member assessments and branch office fees	\$ 9,203,639		\$ 9,203,639	\$ 7,872,371		\$ 7,872,371
Applications 2.107.265 2.107.265 1.361.601 1.36 Examinations 2.730.596 2.730.596 1.371.878 1.47 Corporate finance fees 2.139.080 2.139.080 1.127.073 1.12 Fines 453.755 453.755 453.755 455.052 400 Interist and other 1.645.337 1.422.646 2.954.363 1.360.012 1.015.435 2.37 NASTIAL issuers files 4.133.481 4.133.481 3.177.558 5.17 1.230.053 3.3.64 Expensits 1.094.833 .795.190 .79	NASEIAO user services fees		\$12,094,890	12,094,890		\$15,274,616	15,27 t. ñi 6
Examinations 2,730,596 2,730,596 1,371,878 - 37 Corporate finance fees 2,139,080 2,139,080 1,127,073 1,12 Fines 453,755 453,755 453,075 405,052 407 Internst and other 1,645,337 1,422,646 2,954,463 1,360,012 1,015,435 2,37 Regulatory service NSCC (Note 3) 1,094,833 1,094,833 795,199 795 1,33,461 4,133,481 3,177,558 3,17 Salanes and employee benefits 1,094,833 1,094,833 795,199 795 16,290,051 33,764 Expensits 14,746,524 2,483,629 17,230,153 11,938,241 1,348,970 15,295 System operator's fees 3,611,572 3,611,572 4,098,638 4,098 Travel, meeting and investigation 1,746,606 449,719 2,196,325 1,323,264 155,597 4,0 Publication sales of \$190,745 in 1980 and 1 230,512 264,852 1,495,364 988,810 107,501 0.99 Protes	Registered representative tees						
Corporate finance tees 2,139,080 2,139,080 1,127,073 1,127 Fines 453,755 453,755 405,052 407 Internist and other 1,645,337 1,422,646 2,954,363 1,306,012 1,015,435 2,377 NASILAD issuers itees 4,133,481 4,133,481 4,133,481 4,133,481 4,177,558 4,177 Regulatory service NSCC (Note 3) 1094,833 1094,833 795,190 795 16,290,051 33,766 Satares and employee benefits 3,517,536 36,911,902 17,200,153 11,938,241 1,348,970 13,24 System operator's fees 3,611,572 3,611,572 4,098,638 695 Travel, meeting and investigation 17,46,606 449,719 2,196,325 1,323,264 155,597 4/29 Publication, supplies and postage (net of publication sales of \$90,745 in 1980 and 1 240,852 1,455,976 887,174 293,772 1 80 Occuratory (ner of rent received of \$279,290 in 441,365 495,546 1,447,212 959,301 429,592 369	Applications	2,107,265		2,107,265	1,361,601		1,36+,601
Fines 453,755 453,755 405,052 400 Internst and other 1,645,337 1,422,646 2,954,363 1,307,755 407 MASIJAD issuers ties 4,133,481 4,133,481 4,133,481 3,177,558 417 Regulatory service NSCC (Note 3) 1,094,833 .1,094,833 .795,199 .795 .10,290,051 33,765 Expensits 14,746,524 2,483,629 17,230,153 11,938,241 1,348,970 13,292 System operator's less 1,746,606 449,719 2,196,325 1,323,264 155,597 4.09 Publication sales of \$307,745 in 1980 and 1,230,512 264,852 1,495,364 988,810 107,501 1.09 Professional and other services 1,441,365 495,280 1,859,766 887,174 293,772 1.80 Interest 423,373 280,000 323,784 498,000 555 643 Interest 423,733 280,000 323,772 1.80 764,883 187,939 952,822 569,052 80,555	Examinations	2,730,596		2,730,596	1,371,878		1.371,878
Internist and other 1.645,337 1.422,646 2.954,363 1.360,012 1.015,435 2.374 NASDAQ issuers itees 4.133,481 4.133,481 3.177,558 4.17 Regulatory service NSCC (Note 3) 1.094,833	Corporate finance tees	2,139,080		2,139,080	1,127,073		1,127,073
NASDAQ issuers iters 4,133,481 4,133,481 4,133,481 3,177,558 5,17 Hegulatory service NSCC (Note 3) 1,094,833 .1,094,833 .795,190 .795 23,507,996 13,517,536 .36,911,902 17,470,735 .16,290,051 .33,464 Expensits 3,476,524 2,483,659 17,230,153 11,938,241 1,348,970 13,245 Salares and employee benefits 3,611,572 3,611,572 4,098,638 4.098 Travel, meeting and investigation 1,746,606 449,719 2,196,325 1,323,264 155,597 4.79 Publication, supplies and postage (net of publication sales of \$90,745 in 1980 and 1230,512 264,852 1,495,364 988,810 107,501 4.098 Professional and other services 1,441,365 496,280 1,859,766 867,174 293,772 168 Occupancy (net of rent received of \$279,290	Fines	453,755		453,755	405,052		40%,052
Regulatory service NSCC (Note 3) 1.094,833	Internst and other	1,645,337	1,422,646	2,954,363	1,360,012	1,015,435	2 371 447
Regulatory service NSCC (Note 3) 1.094,833	NASDAU issuers tees	4,133,481		4,133,481	3,177.558		a. 177, 558
23,307,396 13,517,536 36,911,902 17,470,735 16,230,051 33,744 Expensise Satares and employee benefits 14,746,524 2,483,629 17,230,153 11,938,241 1,348,970 13,244 System operator's fees 3,611,572 3,611,572 4,098,638 0.95 Travel, meeting and investigation 1,746,606 449,719 2,196,325 1,323,264 155,597 4,79 Publication sales of \$90,745 in 1980 and 1230,512 264,852 1,495,364 988,810 107,501 4.098 Professional and other services 1,441,365 495,280 1,859,766 887,174 293,772 1,48 Occupancy (net of rent received of \$279,290 in 1980 and \$256,000 in 1979) 976,566 485,646 1,447,212 959,301 429,592 349 Interst 42,373 280,000 322,373 48,649 490,000 59 Depreciation and amortization 108,656 608,328 716,984 83,183 1,561,231 643 Other 56,384 243,182 904,56	Regulatory service NSCC (Note 3)			1,094,833	795,190		795, 190
Salanes and employee benefits 14.746,524 2,483,629 17,230,153 11,938,241 1,348,970 13.24. System operator's fees 3,611,572 3,611,572 3,611,572 4,098,638 e.092 Travel, meeting and investigation 1.746,606 449,719 2,196,325 1,323,264 155,597 +4/9 Publication, supplies and postage (net of publication sales of \$90,745 in 1980 and \$88,000 in 1979) 1.230,512 264,852 1,495,364 988,810 107,501 F098 Professional and other services 1.441,365 495,280 1,859,766 887,174 293,772 i.180 Occupancy (net of rent received of \$279,290 in 1980 and \$256,000 in 1979) 974,566 485,646 1,447,212 959.301 429,592 380 Interest 42,373 280,000 322,373 48,649 490,000 539 Depreciation and amortization 108,656 608,328 716,984 83,183 1,561,231 i.643 Data processing and transmission (net of computer time sales of \$151,070 in 1980 and \$104,000 in 1979) 416,924 1,757,925 2,151,108 354,366 1,460,970 i.837 Other<		23,507,986	13,517,536	36,911,902		16,290,051	33,760,780
System operator's fees 3,611,572 4,098,638 4,093 Travel, meeting and investigation 1,746,606 449,719 2,196,325 1,323,264 155,597 4,09 Publication, supplies and postage (net of publication sales of \$90,745 in 1980 and \$88,000 in 1979) 1,230,512 264,852 1,495,364 988,810 107,501 6.09 Professional and other services 1,441,365 495,280 1,859,766 887,174 293,772 1.09 Outsupancy (net of rent received of \$279,290 in 1980 and \$256,000 in 1979) 974,566 485,646 1,447,212 959,301 429,592 380 Interest 42,373 280,000 322,373 48,649 490,000 539 Depreciation and amortization 108,656 608,328 716,984 83,183 1,561,231 643 Data processing and transmission (net of computer time sales of \$151,070 in 1980 3643 843,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 284 Other 56,384 843,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 284 Other 1,979,193 <td>Expansis</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Expansis						
System operator's fees 3,611,572 3,611,572 4,098,638 a 094 Travel, meeting and investigation 1.746,606 449,719 2,196,325 1,323,264 155,597 4.09 Publication, supplies and postage (net of publication sales of \$90,745 in 1980 and 1.230,512 264,852 1,495,364 988,810 107,501 6.09 Professional and other services 1.441,365 495,280 1,859,766 887,174 293,772 i.18 Occopancy (net of rent received of \$279,290 in 1980 and \$256,000 in 1979) 974,566 485,646 1,447,212 959,301 429,592 380 Interest 42,373 280,000 322,373 48,649 490,000 539 Depreciation and amortization 108,656 608,328 716,984 83,183 1,561,231 643 Data processing and transmission (net of computer time sales of \$151,070 in 1980 and \$104,000 in 1979) 416,924 1,757,925 2,151,108 354,366 1,460,970 1,817 Other 56,384 843,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 294,464	Salanes and employee benefits	14.746,524	2,483,629	17,230,153	11,938,241	1,348,970	13 28/, 211
Publication, supplies and postage (net of publication sales of \$90,745 in 1980 and 1 230,512 264,852 1,495,364 988,810 107,501 1.09 Professional and other services 1 441,365 495,280 1,859,766 887,174 293,772 1.18 Occapancy (net of rent received of \$279,290 in 1980 and \$256,000 in (979) 974,566 485,646 1,447,212 959,301 429,592 349 Telephone and office 764,883 187,939 952,822 569 052 80,555 643 Interest 42,373 280,000 322,373 48,649 490,000 559 Deprectation and amortization 108,656 608,328 716,984 83,183 1,561,231 1.643 Data processing and transmission (net of computer time sales of \$151,070 in 1980 and \$104,000 in 1979) 416,924 1,757,925 2,151,108 354,366 1,460,970 1,819 Other 56,384 843,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 283 21,528,793 11,473,072 32,888,245 17,207,884 10,258,719 27,464 Net Income 1,979,193 262,851 2,044,464 6,031,332<	··· •		3,611,572	3,611,572		4,098,638	a 098,638
Publication, supplies and postage (net of publication sales of \$90,745 in 1980 and 1 230,512 264,852 1,495,364 988,810 107,501 1.09 Protessional and other services 1 441,365 495,280 1,859,766 887,174 293,772 i.180 Occupancy (net of rent received of \$279,290 iiii 1980 and \$256,000 in 1979) 974,566 485,646 1,447,212 959,301 429,592 1.389 Telephone and office 764,883 187,939 952,822 569,052 80,555 643 Interest 42,373 280,000 322,373 48,649 490,000 539 Deprectation and amortization 108,656 608,328 716,984 83,183 1,561,231 1.643 Data processing and transmission (net of computer time sales of \$151,070 in 1980 and \$104,000 in 1979) 416,924 1,757,925 2,151,108 354,366 1,460,970 1,819 Other 56,384 843,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 281 Other 1,979,193 262,851 1,2707,884 10,258,719 27,460 Net Income 1,979,193 262,851 6,031,332	r	1 746,606	449,719	2,196,325	1,323,264	155,597	i 470 861
\$88,000 in 1979) 1 230.512 264,852 1,495,364 988,810 107.501 1 055 Professional and other services 1 441,365 495,280 1,859,766 887,174 293.772 1 80 Outzupancy (net of rent received of \$279,290 in 1980 and \$256,000 in 1979) 974,566 485,646 1,447,212 959.301 429,592 389 Telephone and office 764,883 187,939 952,822 569.052 80,555 646 Interest 42,373 280,000 322,373 48,649 490,000 559 Depreciation and amortization 108,656 608,328 716,984 83,183 1,561,231 1.641 Data processing and transmission (net of computer time sales of \$151,070 in 1980 and \$104,000 in 1979) 416,924 1,757,925 2,151,108 354,366 1,460,970 1,814 Other 56,384 843,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 284 Other 1,979,193 262,851 17,207,884 10,258,719 27,460 Net Income 1,979,193 262,851 6,031,332 6,031,332							
Professional and other services 1 441,365 495,280 1,859,766 887,174 293,772 1 88 Occanancy (net of rent received of \$279,290 ib 1980 and \$256,000 int (979) 974,566 485,646 1,447,212 959,301 429,592 389 Telephone and office 764,883 187,939 952,822 569,052 80,555 644 Interest 42,373 280,000 322,373 48,649 490,000 539 Depreciation and amortization 108,656 608,328 716,984 83,183 1,561,231 1,643 Data processing and transmission (net of computer time sales of \$151,070 in 1980 and \$104,000 in 1979) 416,924 1,757,925 2,151,108 354,366 1,460,970 1,819 Other 56,384 843,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 284 21.528,793 11,473,072 32,888,245 17,207,884 10,258,719 27,460 Net Income 1,979,193 262,851 6,031,332 6,031,332 1,332 1,332 1,332 1,332 1,332 1,332 1,332 1,332 1,332 1,332 1,332 1,332 <td></td> <td>1 230.512</td> <td>264,852</td> <td>1,495,364</td> <td>988.810</td> <td>107.501</td> <td>1.058-333</td>		1 230.512	264,852	1,495,364	988.810	107.501	1.058-333
Occuspancy (net of rent received of \$279,290 ib 1980 and \$256,000 at 1979) 974,566 485,646 1,447,212 959.301 429,592 389 Telephone and office 764,883 187,939 952,822 569.052 80,555 644 Interest 42,373 280,000 322,373 48,649 490,000 559 Depreciation and amortization 108,656 608,328 716,984 83,183 1,561,231 1.643 Data processing and transmission (net of computer time sales of \$151,070 in 1980 and \$104,000 in 1979) 416,924 1,757,925 2,151,108 354,366 1,460,970 1.819 Other 56,384 849,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 284 21.528,793 11,473,072 32,888,245 17,207,884 10,258,719 27,460 Net Income 1,979,193 262,851 6,031,332 6,031,332 2,044,464 6,031,332 6,031,332 2,041,332 2,043,464 6,031,332 2,041,332 2,041,332 2,041,332 2,041,332 2,041,332 2,041,332 2,041,332 2,041,332 </td <td>•</td> <td>1 441,365</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>887,174</td> <td>293 772</td> <td>1 1111 946</td>	•	1 441,365			887,174	293 772	1 1111 946
ib 1980 and \$256.000 in 1979) 974,566 485,646 1,447,212 959.301 429,592 389 Telephone and office 764,883 187,939 952,822 569.052 80,555 644 Interest 42,373 280,000 322,373 48.649 490,000 539 Depreciation and amortization 108,656 603,328 716,984 83,183 1,561,231 1.641 Data processing and transmission (net of computer time sales of \$151,070 in 1980 344,329 904,566 55,844 231,893 284 Other 56,384 848,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 284 Vet Income 1,979,193 262,851 17,207,884 10,258,719 27,460 NASDAQ, Inc 1,979,193 262,851 56,031,332 6,031,332 6,031,332 56,031,332			,	. ,			
Telephone and office 764,883 187,939 952,822 569.052 80,555 646 Interest 42,373 280,000 322,373 48,649 490,000 539 Depreciation and amortization 108,656 608,328 716,984 83,183 1,561,231 1.643 Data processing and transmission (net of computer time sales of \$151,070 in 1980 416,924 1,757,925 2,151,108 354,366 1,460,970 1.807 Other 56,384 843,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 287 Net Income 1,979,193 262,851 262,851 7460 NASDAQ, Inc 1,979,193 262,851 6,031,332 6,031,332 6,031,332		974.566	485,646	1.447.212	959.301	429, 592	1 385 893
Interest 42,373 280,000 322,373 48,649 490,000 539 Depreciation and amortization 108,656 608,328 716,984 83,183 1,561,231 1.643 Data processing and transmission (net of computer time sales of \$151,070 in 1980 and \$104,000 in 1979) 416,924 1,757,925 2,151,108 354,366 1,460,970 1,812 Other 56,384 843,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 284 21.528,793 11,473,072 32,888,245 17,207,884 10,258,719 27,460 NASD AQ, Inc 1,979,193 262,851 6,031,332 6,031,332 5,031,332					569-052		649 602
Depreciation and amortization 108,656 608,328 716,984 83,183 1,561,231 1.641 Data processing and transmission (net of computer time sales of \$151,070 in 1980 and \$104,000 in 1979) 416,924 1,757,925 2,151,108 354,366 1,460,970 1,812 Other 56,384 848,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 284 21.528,793 11,473,072 32,888,245 17,207,884 10,258,719 27,460 Net Income 1,979,193 262,851 262,851 1,931,332 204,464 2,044,464 6,031,332 6,031,332 6,031,332 -							538,649
Data processing and transmission (net of computer time sales of \$151,070 in 1980 and \$104,000 in 1979) 416,924 1,757,925 2,151,108 354,366 1,460,970 1,812 Other 56,384 843,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 284 21.528,793 11,473,072 32,888,245 17,207,884 10,258,719 27,460 Net Income 1,979,193 262,851 262,851 10,258,713 27,460 NASDAO, Inc 2,044,464 2,044,464 6,031,332 6,031,332	Depreciation and amortization				83,183	1,561,231	1.641.414
computer time sales of \$151.070 in 1980 and \$104,000 in 1979) 416,924 1,757,925 2,151,108 354,366 1,460,970 1,812 Other 56,384 843,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 284 21.528,793 11,473,072 32,888,245 17,207,884 10,258,719 27,466 Net Income 1,979,193 262,851 262,851 6,031,332 6,031,3				·			
and \$104,000 in 1979) 416,924 1,757,925 2,151,108 354,366 1,460,970 1,812 Other 56,384 843,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 284 21,528,793 11,473,072 32,888,245 17,207,884 10,258,719 27,460 Net Income 1,979,193 262,851 262,851 6,031,332 6,031,332 6,031,332 6,031,332							
Other 56,384 848,182 904,566 55,844 231,893 284 21.528,793 11,473,072 32,888,245 17,207,884 10,258,719 27,460 Nat Income 1,979,193 262,851 262,851 6,031,332<	•	416.924	1.757.925	2,151,108	354,366	1,460,970	1.815 336
21.528,793 11,473,072 32,888,245 17,207,884 10,258,719 27,464 Net Income 1,979,193 262,851 262,851 26,031,332 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>287 [737</td></td<>							287 [737
NASU 1,979,193 262,851 NASDAQ, Inc 2,044,464 6,031,332 6,031,332							
NASU 1,979,193 262,851 NASDAQ, Inc 2,044,464 6,031,332 6,031,332	Net law con						
NASDAQ, Inc 2,044,464 2,044,464 6,031,332 6,031,332		1 070 102			262 861		
			9 644 ACA			6 (121-229	
Consolidated 4,023,657 4,023,657 6,294,183 6,295			2,043,404	1.000.007		0,001,002	
	Consolidated	4,023,657		4,023,657	6.294.183		6,294,183
Association equity, beginning of year 24,374,317 10,945,705 24,374,317 18,080,134 4,915,373 18,080	Association equity, beginning of year	24,374,317	10,945,705	24,374,317	18,080,134	4,915,373	15 (080 (04
Association equity, end of year \$28,397,974 \$12,991,169 \$28,397,974 \$24,374,317 \$10,946,705 \$26,37	Association equity, end of year	\$28,397,974	\$12,991,169	\$28,397.974	\$24,374,317	\$10,946,705	\$26,375,317

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND ASSOCIATION EQUITY

ŧ

National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. and NASDAQ, Inc.

	Year Ended September 30,						
		1980		1979			
	NASD	NASDAQ	Consolidated	NASD	NASDAQ	Consolidate	
Financial resources were provided by:			menere - the deal could be required.				
Net income	\$ 1,979,190	3 \$ 2,044,464		\$ 262,851	\$ 6,031,332		
Net income of NASDAQ, Inc.	2,044,464			6,031,332			
	4,023,657	2,044,464	\$4,023,657			\$ 6,294,18	
Items not affecting working capital in the				-,,,,,,	0,001,002	• •,204,30	
period							
Net income of NASDAQ, inc	(2,044,464	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(6.031,332)		
Depreciation and amortization	108,65€	608,328	716-984	83.183	1,561,231	1,644,41	
Loss on disposition of furniture,							
equipment and leasehold improvements	2,978		2.978				
Loss on disposition of data processing,							
subscriber equipment and software		6,500	6,500		28,165	28 16	
Decrease in investment in Depository Trust							
Company	8,323	l	8,323	0 570		6.03	
Decrease in non-current investment securities	0,020		0,020	9,579 4,494,987		9,579	
Proceeds from disposition of data processing,				4,454,507		4,494,987	
subscriber equipment and software		3,500	3,500		100.000	100.00	
	2,099,150			4.000.000	100,000	100,000	
	2,035,150	2,002,792	4,761,942	4,850,600	7,720,728	12 ,571,328	
Inancial resources were used for: Purchase of data processing, subscriber equipment and software Purchase of land, building and improvements, furniture, equipment and leasehold	455,556	1,212,472	1,668.028		55,191	55,191	
improvements	506,233	- 1070 070	1 204 40				
Increase (decrease) in other assets	43,679		1,784,912	113,446	50,016	163,462	
Decrease in long-term note payable	*J,079	(12,620)		35,733	(12,140)		
Decrease in long-term mortgage payable	124,163	2,000,000	2,000,000		2,000,000	2,000,000	
in the start of th		4 430 504	124 63	117,532		117,532	
crease (decrease) in working capital	1,129,631	4,478,531	5,608,152	266,711	2,093,067	2,359,778	
	<u>\$ 969,519</u>	\$(1,815,739)	<u>\$ (846,7'20)</u>	\$ 4,583,889	<u>\$ 5,627,661</u>	\$10,211,550	
Analysis of Changes in Working Capital					The second s		
croses (dues and) is surrent second							
crease (decrease) in current assets Cash		• • • • • • •					
Marketable debt securities	\$ (28,795)				\$ (47,967)	\$ (7.457	
Interest and other current assets	865,077	(1,019,957)	(154,880)	5,489,366	4,071,164	9,560,530	
interest and other current assets	460,356	(181,989)	328,488	37,762	209,863	247,625	
	1,296,638	(1,166,660)	180,099	5,567,638	4,233,060	9,800,698	
crease (increase) in current liabilities			•				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	35,045	(649,079)	(664,155)	(555,284)	43,923	(511,361)	
Prepaid NASDAQ issuers fees	(355,534)		(355,534)	(101,939)		(101,939)	
Loans payable				(320,250)		(320,250)	
Current portion of mortgage payable	(6,630)		(6,630)	(6,276)		(6,276)	
Retund of user service fees			1		1.350.678	1,350,678	
	(327,119)	(649,079)	(1,026,319)	(983,749)	1,394,601		
crease (decrease) in working capital	and also a free and the second s			···		410,852	
eread factoriant in working capital	\$ 969,519	\$(1,815,739)	£ (346-210) (<u>\$ 4.583.889</u>	\$_5,627,661 \$	510,211 550 ·	

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN FINANCIAL POSITION

.

National Association of Securities Dealers, Incl and NASDAQ, Inc.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 1930 AND 1979

Note 1 -- Organization

The National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. (NASD) is a not-for-profit membership association established to regulate the over the countrel securities market. NASDAQ, Inc., which was incorporated in 1976 to operate the VASEAQ guote information system, National Clearing Corporation, (NCC) and NASD Market Generics and (MSI) are wholly-owned subsidiaries of the NASD.

Note 2--Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Basis of Financial Statement Presentator:

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the NASD, NASDAO, Inc., MSI or NCC after elimination of all significant intercompany transactions.

In Nevember, 1973, the NASD acquired all infercimpany transactions. In Nevember, 1973, the NASD acquired all the authorized capital stock of a newly formed of establishing national market facilities to assist the NASD in carrying our its regulatory respon-solutions and for the benefit of the NASD members in their pursuit of efficient execution of securities transactions. The assets, liabilities and operations of MSI have been consolidated with the NASD september (10, 1990).

NCC owners a one-third interest in the National Securities Clearing Corporation (NSCC). NCC's operations are not significant. Accordingly, the assets (consisting principally of the investment in NSCC) vabilities and operations of NCC have been consolidated with the NASD in the accompanying thraincial statements. The investment in NSCC is carried at cost since that corporation is controlled by the users of the clearing facilities and does not interior to pay dividends to its shareholders.

Retrement Benefits and Deferred Compensators

NASD maintains a savings plan and a defined benefit perision plan for the benefit of all eligible employees. Certain employees have been granted extended retirement and death benefits.

NASD's policy is to fund pension costs and to provide for the cost of other benefits as they accine Unfunded prior service costs are being hinded to vovide for the cost of other benefits as they savings plan costs were \$1.003,613 and \$760 0kk for the years ended of 30 years. Pension and 1974 respectively As of January 1, 1980, he ner assets of the plan exceeded the actuanally computed value of vested benefits.

The Special Investment Account represents annunity set aside to fund the accrued deferred criticizaniation which relates to five former or current empkryzes.

Depreciations and Ameridanticus

MASD's building and improvements are being depreciated on a straight-line tracis over lives of 38 and 30 years respectively. Furniture and equipment is being depreciated on a straight-line basis over 10 years. No depreciation has been taken on data processing equipment as it has not been placed #3 service.

NASDAU Inc.'s data processing, subscriber equipment and software acquired in early 1976 have been fully depreciated. Other NASDAC equipment subsequently acquired is being depre-ciate i using various methods over useful lives of from 4 to 10 years. NASDAC Inc.'s building and improvements are being depreciated on a straight-line basis over lives of 30 and 10 years.

Soltware Costs

Full haskid software, developed by others is cupitalized if it has a continuing value and is considered an integral part of purchased hardware. All other software research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred.

Precaud INASDAQ Issuers From

Prepaid NASDAQ issuers fees are deferred and included in income of the applicable fiscal year

Inconver Taxae

RASD is a tax-exempt not-tor-profit organization INASDAD find has also been ruled exempt from Facteral and certain state and city income taxes. In November, 1980, MS applied for an exemption from feitheral income taxes.

Note 3 - Released Planty Transactions

NASDAC. Inc. utilizes the services of NASD employees. In addition, NASD provides NSCC guilatory services relating to NASD members who are also members of NSCC. Such regulatory

services --Llude periodic examination of the books, records and operations of N. C. ex-monitoriar, and nivestigating the financial and operating condition of mentions and apprising NSCC of unusual market conductive which affect seconds for memo-institus and apprising NSCC of unusual market conductive which affect seconds by NSCC in addition, NASD bills NASDAQ, on, for other expension or and in its lends from NASE during 1980 and 1979 for these activities are summarized or forews. Hillings from NASD in visit and for visits and for visits.

	Billings from NASL	1 für yenst endisch
	September 30	
	1980	979
NASDACE Inc. NSCC regulatory fees	\$3,414,273	\$1147827
	1 094 833	1124 1121

Unpart receivable (payable) balances of NASD at September 30, 1990 and 1979 with NA 2, and NGCC are summarized as follows Inc

	NASD receivable (payotile) at Sieptember 30	
	1980	1979
NASICACE Inc NSCC	\$183.824	\$063703
	313 454	

Effective October 1, 1979 NASD changed its method of balculating the allocation of gene administrative expenses to NASDAQ, the The new method of allocation is based in NAF revenue as compared to combined revenues in prior years, the allocation was have NASDAQ's prior salaries. The change resulted in the allocation of us adometry. Sepa-expenses 5, NASDAQ, find during the current year.

Note 4--- Investment in Depository Trust Company

Pursuant --- a plan to diversify the ownership of Depository Trust Company (DTC) among salt regulatory and other organizations in October 1975 (NASD a dured Record Her organizations salt regulatory) and other organizations and other 1975 (NASD a dured Record Her organizations) and the routest shares of DTC from the New York Stock Exchange Entitlement to own shares of DTC is consistent of the routest and the new York Stock Exchange Entitlement to own shares of DTC is consistent of the routest and the new York Stock Exchange Entitlement to own shares of the routest and the new York Stock Exchange Entitlement to own shares of the routest and the new York Stock Exchange Entitlement to own shares of the routest and the new York Stock Exchange Entitlement to own shares of the routest and the new York Stock Exchange Entitlement to own shares of the routest and the new York Stock Exchange Entitlement to own shares of the routest and the routest and the routest and the new York Stock Exchange Entitlement to own shares of the routest and the routes

NASD's land and buildings are pledged as security for a 5½% montgage. The monigage payrible through 1985 in equal monthly instalments of principal are interest of \$1,310 %.

NASD has guaranteed payment of a 10/2% note issued by NASDAQ and the part of a is payable is anni-el instalments of \$2,000,000 through 1981

Note 8--- Commitments and Contingencies

Texe on environmental and contingencies. In connect on with the operations of its distinct offices. NASD environs office space in a locations. Office and equipment rental expense amounted to \$1,214,425 and \$1,02,000 years ender. September 30, 1980 and 1979 respectively. Municipal respectively, Municipal ender a solution of the space of th

Dropany taxes and building operation costs Or Februality 9: 1976 [NASDAQ] Inc. entered into an agreement under which Biolower performs on June 30: 1981 and the payments in fiscal 1981 to that date will be 32 Ort 000 in 342 fricting under diffice and equipment rental expense of \$883 200 and \$598 (000 to the permit superior numerical diffice and equipment rental expense of \$883 200 and \$598 (000 to the permit September 9-, 1580 and 1979, haspectively, Minimum commitments for these expenses succeeding in tailyears are as follows \$663 342 in 1981, \$1,143 94n to 1970 31, during an \$1,143,946 in 1985 and \$1,525,264 in 1986, the?

During the current year, the companies entered into contractual agreements for the contractuation of the contr

There are legal proceedings periding against the NASD separately or well others. At independence, based upon the opinion of courser, that liabilities ar sing from the representation will not have a materially adverse effect on the NASD.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Governors of National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. and NASDAQ, Inc.

In our opinion, the accompanying individual and consolidated balance sheets and the related statements of operations and Association equity and of changes in financial position present fairly the financial positions of the National Association of Securities Dealers. Inc. (NASD) and its subsidiary NASDAQ. Inc. at September 30, 1980 and 1979, and the individual and consolidated results of their operations and the changes in their Friancial position for the years then ended, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles consistently applied. Our examinations of these statements were made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and accordingly included such tests of the accounting records and such other auciting procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances

Washington, D.C. December 23, 1980

HOME THE PTS AND AND A 199

Purposes Of The NASD

- To promote the investment banking and securities business;
- To standardize its principles and practices.
- To promote high standards of commercial honor and to promote among members observance of federal and state securities laws;
- □ To provide a medium through which the membership may consult with governmental and other agencies;
- □ To cooperate with governmental and other agencies in the solution of problems affecting the securities business and investors;
- To adopt and enforce rules of fair practice in the securities business:
- □ To promote just and equitable principles of trade for the protection of investors.
- □ To promote self-discipline among members; and,
- To investigate and adjust grievances between the public and members





NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SECURITIES DEALERS, INC. 1735 K STREET, N.W.

WASHINGTON. D. C. 20006